

Re: The REAL cause of the Titanic Disaster.

Re: The REAL cause of the Titanic Disaster.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2008-10/msg02248.html>

- *From:* NoEinstein <noeinstei@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 24 Oct 2008 16:55:25 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Oct 23, 3:34 pm, Ian Parker <ianpark...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 23 Oct, 18:11, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Oct 22, 7:58 am, Ian Parker <ianpark...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On 21 Oct, 23:12, NoEinstein <noeinst...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Dear Harry C: I am an architect. Both WTC towers survived the impacts perfectly well. But the floor structure consisted of deep trusses sprayed with fireproofing. When the planes hit, most of the fireproofing simply cracked off. That left unprotected steel to hold up buildings experiencing catastrophic fires. I have invented and patented a voided, flat plate reinforced concrete floor system called Plen-E-Air Slab with a flat bottom surface which would have left 4-hr. fire protection in place after those planes skidded on out of the building. The void in such distributes A/C and electrical/signal.. Because of the 15% reduction in building height (for the same number of floors) whole building cost would be 15% less, too. If my floor system had been used on the WTC

Re: The REAL cause of the Titanic Disaster.

buildings, both would be repaired and
back in service, today.

I mention the latter, because the USA has a
penchant for shunning
anything that s better. The reason? Better
things make those who did
things the old way look bad. Protecting the
status quo egos of the
old-timers is "bringing down America...
literally! NoEinstein – Hide quoted text
–

There are many factors involved in building design. There
are
questions of light, questions of amenity, questions of
transport etc.
My contention is that if you want security and this is your
prime
concern, the only way to go is underground.

There are a number of points. Steel is normally regarded as a
good
material in a fire. A building which consists largely of metal
and
glass is normally regarded as being safe in a fire. The
buildings
which are considered dangerous are those which contain a lot
of soft
upholstery – like a normal house. If you have an aircraft
loaded with
fuel crashing into a building making it safe is a tall order.

Your design might be safer in some respects. Most of the
casualties in
the WTC occurred because there were no safe evacuation
routes. I am
now going to make a claim that the WTC casualties you
have been a
lot less were there permanent nets that people could jump
into. Hey
why not insist that all buildings are <20m high and all are
surrounded

Re: The REAL cause of the Titanic Disaster.

by 4m of water?

As far as saying that Obama will nean the end. The US is the major threat to world peace today. Iraq is an aggressive war that was sold to the American people through a series of lies. Terrorism has increased enormously because of it. 2.3 million people have been driven from their homes and are in Iraq and Jordan. How are you with your arcitectural expertise going to design a house which is safe to live in in Iraq? How are you going to get people on the raod FROM Damascus?

DARPA is developing AI systems like a helicopter that can land on a window sill. Have they ever figured that they are making a rod for their own backs. A helicopter than can land on a window sill will become a consumer item available for antone to buy.

– Ian Parker– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Dear Ian: A safer skyscraper would include compact "fireman's poles" which are in fire safe enclosures. Those poles could be located inside of structural columns. Each pole goes maybe four floors, then offsets. By sliding very fast down poles, large numbers of people could be evacuated, vertically, in a short time. Ten poles could be fit into the space of a single exit stair. The latter 'kill' the economic feasibility of many buildings. Another advantage of the poles over stairs is that even if a person died on the way down, their body wouldn't block the stair. A "pile" would just be created at the bottom for the survivors to step on. Where there is a will, solutions can be found! NoEinstein – Hide quoted text –

Re: The REAL cause of the Titanic Disaster.

It might also be true to say that the WTC was not a good idea anyway. The whole purpose of large skyscrapers is to bring brain workers together. Technology is in fact making this unnecessary. Why not leave people in their own homes with high speed broadband. They could even brew up coffee in front of screens and converse about this and that in their breaks.

In fact the Web is probably better than a large building. People can work collaboratively on a file simultaneously. All the data can be in front of you.

– Ian Parker– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Dear Ian: Corporate cultures die hard. Bosses like to count heads so as to feel important. Tall buildings are really just phallic symbols of corporate power. One day, gas prices may force companies to work from home like you suggest. I will enjoy watching the changes sure to be brought by the present... depression. NoEinstein