

Re: randomness in a system? not for long!

Re: randomness in a system? not for long!

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.physics/2009-05/msg01928.html>

- *From:* john240509 <vegan16@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 27 May 2009 11:22:51 -0700 (PDT)
-

On May 27, 10:12 am, Sam Wormley <sworml...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

john240509 wrote:

Try to keep the liver out of
range of possible sources.

It is when the xray and the
allele try to occupy the same place
that you can get some major transcription errors.

When two things try to occupy
the same place at the same time,
the system can go bye-bye.

Randomness and an apparently
immortal atom do not in any way
go together.

Sam, if you're building a car,
do you want **anything** in the
production process to be
random?

Such as a "pinch" of salt. For any given cook, I suspect the
mass of salt in a "pinch" has a normal distribution.

Same is true for various alignments of parts in automobile
assemblage. One desires to keep the "random" variations below

Re: randomness in a system? not for long!

some specified limit.

Exactly!!

One seeks to reduce tolerances in order to improve mileage, increase lifetime, etc.

Random is a *bad* thing. It is not something that should be part of any blueprint. Let alone a TOE

"Oh, just put the shower anywhere, Mr. Builderman."

If you're cooking with a number of ingredients, which part of that process do you want to be random?

If you're dressing for work, is that a random process, perhaps?
john

Selecting a pair of socks is a random process for many.