

Re: Apollo One, the FBI, and Scott Grissom

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2004-06/0411.html>

From: Pat Flannery (*flanner_at_daktel.com*)

Date: 06/04/04

Date: Fri, 04 Jun 2004 02:05:43 -0500

LaDonna Wyss wrote:

>OK. Let's start with the last one first. I am FAR too busy with this
>to "google the group" for the past year or so. If you have a specific
>post from Jay Windley you would like me to address, feel free to
>direct me to it.
>

They were all pretty much the same when it came to scott and Jay; pick any of them....

> I don't have time to waste searching through months
>of chatter to find the nugget or two worthy of response.
>

Well, scott calls Jay "windy" instead of Windley...that was about the entire amusement value of both their endless postings.
They both now reside in my Phantom Zone, where all the posters whose postings threaten to make me fall asleep go.

>Next, why do you have "Scott" in quotes? It's not a fictional name;
>it's his real name.
>

There's more "Scott"s around here; but only one "scott"– lower case first letter.

> And I've already said that Scott is not great at
>explaining things.
>

Especially not to Jay Windley– take my word on that one.

> He assumes a certain level of knowledge and has NO
>patience for taking things to fundamental elements to catch people up.
>

Yeah....right....

> *That is a weakness of his, but it does not prove lack of
>credibility....simply impatience.*
>

He went to the Phantom Zone about the time I lost patience with his lack of credibility.

>*Next, I am not a "conspiratorial loon." I approached this with
>extreme skepticism, as did many members of my team.*
>

OH GOD! Tell me it's not the Judica-Cordiglia brothers...anything but that!

> *The fact is the
>evidence proves Scott's assertions to be true, and if you spent any
>time reviewing that evidence rather than lodging superficial attacks
>you might come to realize you are seriously mistaken.
>Finally, Scott didn't prove ANYTHING to me. I repeat: SCOTT did NOT
>prove ANYTHING to me. I spent 18 months compiling evidence from all
>over the country and determined ON MY OWN Apollo One was sabotaged.*
>

How can you determine it "on your own" when you also have "many members of my team"?

You aren't counting household pets as members of your "team", are you? You aren't...by any chance...using cute, personality-filled _monkeys_ to do this research for you, are you?

Because if _that's_ the case Missy, then I have only one thing to say to you: "Where's the best place on the web to buy Purina Monkey Chow?!"

>
>*The evidence speaks for itself.*
>

Oo-kay; let's see the evidence... we're waiting....as is the Phantom Zone....AND THE ELECTRIC MONKEY PROD !! >:o

Pat