

Re: IS: Follow the Current – WAS: Apollo One, the FBI, and Scott Grissom

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2004–06/1196.html>

From: LaDonna Wyss (*hpywife927_at_yahoo.com*)

Date: 06/07/04

Date: 7 Jun 2004 10:57:15 -0700

OM <om@our_blessed_lady_mary_of_the_holy_NASA_research_facility.org> wrote in message news:<sra7c0l31q0qpuebkri53lclnvf3lic6c@4ax.com>...

> On 06 Jun 2004 20:23:01 GMT, rk <stellare@NOSPAMPLEASE.erols.com>

> wrote:

>

> >Question rk1: What was the current through the "hard short?"

>

> ...Depends on what model stun gun he inserted in place of the gerbil.

>

> >Question rk2: What upstream impedance limited the current?

>

> ...Depends on whether he has a bladder full of lite beer or real beer.

>

> >Question rk3: What gauge wire was used to the switch?

>

> ...I wasn't aware "scott" had a Prince Albert. Do you know something

> we don't, Rich?

>

> OM

I don't know where Mr. Intelligent (aka OM) got your original question, but here are the INTELLIGENT answers:

1. There is the potential for unlimited current through the point of a short (there is no resistance.) It is therefore impossible to gauge how much current was going through.
2. No upstream impedance. The switch was the source of the short; everything downstream was affected by the resultant voltage transients.
3. I'd have to double-check the gauge of that particular wiring.