

Re: Space Policy Sucks, while there's Life on Venus

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2005-01/1550.html>

From: KMoore (Ken.Moore_at_gmail.com)

Date: 01/16/05

Date: Sun, 16 Jan 2005 16:11:46 GMT

"Brad Guth" <bradguth@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6385c4ff160c3d65943ee4ee5c3928ae.49644@mygate.mailgate.org...
> *It seems that "sci.space.policy" is primarily established as to*
> *know-thy-enemy and snooker-thy-humanity, as per avoiding and/or alluding*
> *to whatever our moon is accessibly good for, and of what's most likely*
> *artificial about Venus is almost as bad off and every bit lethal as the*
> *collateral damage and carnage related to all the lies and many other*
> *perpetrated disinformation factors about Iraq, China, Russia and*
> *countless other nations on this continuing cold-war of our global energy*
> *dominating hit-list.*
>
> *For five years and counting (actually ever since before the Magellan*
> *mission to Venus), and this is besides all of my observationology and my*
> *subjective though honest interpretive contributions, there's always been*
> *more scientific and physics proof-positive of other life making a go of*
> *it upon Venus than of absolutely everything other than what's situated*
> *here upon Earth, of which we unfortunately know of perhaps 10% the life*
> *existing upon this Earth, and seemingly we understand almost nothing*
> *about that 10%. No one even knows the physics truth about ice traveling*
> *through space, much less making it's way onto a planet like Earth.*
>
> *At the rate we're going, consuming vast resources and thereby each of us*
> *producing 20+ tonnes/year worth of eliminations per greedy soul, of*
> *creating artificial CO2 and absolute loads of other nasty contributions*
> *(managing to shift the albedo of Earth by -5%), it will not be long*
> *before this known 10% worth of life populating Earth will be sucking on*
> *dry energy wells, while the upper most 0.1% argue there's no energy*
> *shortages and no such thing as global warming that's summarily roasting*
> *and/or flooding the rest of us 99.9% have-nots (scum of the Earth) to*
> *death.*
>
> *The topic here is that our "Space Policy Sucks", and I'll defy you to*
> *prove otherwise.*
>

sci.space.history: Re: Space Policy Sucks, while there's Life on Venus

The Space Policy doesn't suck.

I do.