

Re: Space Policy Sucks, while there's Life on Venus

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2005-01/2129.html>

From: Eric Chomko (*echomko_at__at_polaris.umuc.edu*)

Date: 01/22/05

Date: Sat, 22 Jan 2005 20:35:21 +0000 (UTC)

Brad Guth (bradguth@yahoo.com) wrote:

: "No one knows for sure since accurate earth temps are a little over 100
: years and our study of the sun even less."

: "What evidence to do have of a 105,000 year cycle? I read that the last
: ice
: age was a mere 10,000 years ago."

: Not so fast, as we now have multiple deep core samples that go way back
: 750,000 years, sharing all sorts of viable data that's essentially
: unaffected by humanity. It's only the last couple of thousand years that
: humanity has been a sufficient influence to being noticed as 'global
: warming' due mostly to the -5% albedo shift we've imposed upon the
: environment of Earth.

Albedo shift from a core sample?

: I've reviewed several core sample charts that clearly establish the
: levels of atmospheric CO2 and several other gas/vapor elements
: throughout time, of which the consensus remains fairly well established
: as to how our long-term environment changed so that those readings came
: about, and of such long-term shifts in the environment certainly wasn't
: achieved by way of anything that our sun introduced, or that other
: planetary/geological considerations permitted, at least not time after
: time.

: You do realize how much of an accelerated growth process it requires for
: an accumulation of diatoms to build one cm?

No.

: To offer some further perspective as to how much diatom deposits
: amounted to from just our last cycle go-around, the White Cliffs of
: Dover are primarily of diatomaceous earth, those cliffs represent many
: layers upon layers of diatom deposits which are essentially the skeleton
: remains of diatoms, whereas the timeline of all known humanity (say

sci.space.history: Re: Space Policy Sucks, while there's Life on Venus

: 50,000 years) is perhaps responsible for not more than 0.1% of that
: level of contribution. Thus clearly a temporary influx of illumination
: or geological transition simply isn't going to cut it. Diatoms need
: additional warmth and mostly additional near-UV and UV/a spectrums of
: energy for thousands of years at a time.

: <http://www.cycle-n-sleep.co.uk/rinfo/related/garden/dovercliffs.htm>
: "White Cliffs of Dover The White Cliffs, up to 300 feet high, are made
: up of millions of small sea creatures ..."

So are many layers in the Grand Canyon.

: That's roughly 90 meters worth of mostly diatom contributions, and how
: persay does your conditional laws of physics and of your ozone layer