

## Re: Technical and Spiritual Development

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2005-01/2396.html>

---

**From:** William Mook ([william.mook\\_at\\_mokindustries.com](mailto:william.mook_at_mokindustries.com))

**Date:** 01/25/05

Date: 25 Jan 2005 08:39:05 -0800

Terrell Miller wrote:

> *William Mook wrote:*

>

> >>> *It is unneeded in*

> >>> *advanced industrial cultures.*

> >>

> >> *such as the Arabian peninsula, where it's pretty common for one man*

> >

> > *to*

> >

> >> *have a couple dozen children by several different wives and*

> >

> > *concubines?*

> >

> > *While sexual activity is generally required for pregnancy (but not*

> > *always given artificial insemination) the number of offspring one*

*has*

> > *is quite independent of one's propensity to engage in sexual*

*activity*

> > *(given birth control).*

>

> *Bill, in a very large part of the world (with a huge percentage of*

*the*

> *world's population), birth control is \*evil\*.*

So? Those people will be less likely to use anti-aging drugs if the drugs have a built in fertility control. It really doesn't affect the argument.

> > *Why is it impossible for those who post here to see that it would be*

> > *easy and preferable to incorporate a anti-fertility drug into an*

> > *anti-aging serum? Because they wish to paint the worst possible face*

> > *on anti-aging treatments, thats why.*

>

> *on the contrary, I'd love to live forever. My personal goal is to*

live

> *to see the next centennial. I'm all for the concept of immortality,*

it's

> *just that I've accumulated enough experience over the years and seen*

how

> *people really act to know that it's a pipe dream.*

You are saying you have accumulated unspecified experiences to know about behaviors which you don't relate that make anti-aging serum a pipe dream.

That's about as nebulous a statement as anyone can make.