

Re: The Moon, LSE-CM/ISS, Venus and beyond, with He3 to burn

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2005-01/2554.html>

From: Brad Guth (bradguth_at_yahoo.com)

Date: 01/27/05

Date: Thu, 27 Jan 2005 04:26:12 +0000 (UTC)

Garuda,

There you go again, with all the usual social/political morallizations. Instead of focusing upon contributing something on the positive side of this topic, and thereby helping humanity, of drastically improving the long-term environment of mother Earth, instead you're the one that's insisting we keep the same old cold-war status quo enforced by way of fighting to our deaths over a shrinking world of rising oceans and depleted energy resources until them Apollo cows come home.

Apparently you still don't consider for a freaking minute that the survival of humanity and of all that's Earth is worth better than another political argument over some rules, that which Americans don't actually have to be bothered with?

The moon and specifically the LSE-CM/ISS outpost or depot/Gateway to just about everywhere else is what I'd call a first come first served situation. It's finders keepers and to hell with whomever else is getting in the way. At least that's been the way of securing every other valuable resource upon Earth, and throughout history at that.

What's wrong with China having total control over the ME-L1 zone?

Even Osama bin Laden wouldn't be as bad off as Halburton or ENRON.

Obviously there'll be countless individuals that'll just as soon start WW-III as to allow whomever to get the upper hand on whatever our moon has to offer. That's why I've been suggesting that we relocate ISS to the moon, and thus from within that vantage point we internationally start the process of creating the truly massive infrastructure of the full blown LSE.

Of course, our American part in this accomplishment could become utilized as the ultimate star-wars domination over Earth, especially of operating the tether dipole element that's cruising those 0.5 milliradian 100 GW laser cannons within 50,000 km and, if you're an American or simply one of our few allies, that's a good thing.

"Most of your ideas directly conflict with international law. This could cause severe problems in getting your ideas to be taken seriously."

Actually, most of my ideas conflict with the mainstream status quo which surpasses your "international law" any stinking day of the week. Since when have major governments or their corporate sponsors for profit played by any stinking "international law", much less moral rules, other than the one about their not getting caught?

I believe if there were such enforceable "international law" we would have been held accountable for the perpetrated cold-war, and especially of what's ongoing as of today.

Speaking of terraforming the moon;
"Personally, I don't think it will happen. Not because of scientific reasons, but due to political agreements such as this one."

Unfortunately that's correct, as it'll first have to become the total demise upon our placing mother Earth deeply (beyond the point of no return) into extinction mode of all other viable forms of life that could have sustained humanity and our environment. Thanks to folks and their snookered mind-set that are somewhat like yourself, we're at half the diatom population and dropping like a rock, thus we're all doomed to a global-warming environment of rising oceans, atmospheric extremes plus unimaginable storms, and those ever expanding dead-zones within our oceans, lakes and rivers.

You can't go about shifting the albedo of Earth by –5% without suffering the consequences.

Fortunately, since half the ice in Antarctica is going away (thanks to all of your conventional fuel consumption and the absolute vast amounts of global pollution created by such), as such we're about to lose 25% of the dry land we've got, about the same time there'll soon become another piece of dry and unfrozen land for humanity to fight over, as to populate and pollute Antarctica, plus the Arctic should remain as nearly ice free year round, thus more efficient shipping and accessible ocean for all those nifty submarine war-games that you so much admire.

BTW; It sounds as though you've got yourself a rather serious pot full of NG and oil investments, possibly even some fairly old energy related stock options that you'd like to take advantage of, so as to get the most almighty bang for your buck/euro.

Perhaps since we're doomed into WW–III sooner or later (GW Bush prepping our capital for surviving a nuclear exchange), as such isn't this quest of terraforming the moon on behalf of making the lunar surface at least robotically suitable as good of reason as any to fight over?

Regards, Brad Guth / GASA–IEIS <http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-topics.htm>

sci.space.history: Re: The Moon, LSE-CM/ISS, Venus and beyond, with He3 to burn

--

Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server - <http://www.Mailgate.ORG>