

Re: Stars showing in moon photos

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2005-05/msg00698.html>

- *From:* "Brad Guth" <ieisbradguth@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 10 May 2005 08:33:54 -0700
-

Tim Epstein,

Stars would tell too much. Even of one star being Sirius would have given away the exact location of that camera, but also since there was a 100% record of time to less than a millisecond being the case, then also the position of Venus would have been impossible to have excluded.

Tim Epstein; "The link below shows a close up of the stars at full resolution.

<http://img13.echo.cx/my.php?im-age=mooncloseup3qx.jpg>

There are actually a few others of even better images within which dim stars are there to behold. However, I'm fairly certain those were never officially stipulated as such because, those simply may not have been the correct stars if you were standing upon the moon and for the given time of exposing that sensitive Kodak film.

Venus would actually have been extremely bright, especially upon two of their Apollo missions, nearly burning a whole in any unfiltered Kodak moment. After all, Venus is not only quite large but it reflects better than 80% of the solar illumination, as compared to our moon reflecting 11~12% that we can see and photograph our moon as illuminated in the daytime sky over Earth despite all of the horrific atmospheric glow of secondary/recoil photons which makes our sky so darn blue. The Sirius star system offering mostly near-UV and a good amount of UV—a energy is simply another target that simply could not have been avoided, though representing a smaller number of pixels than Venus or rather fewer original photographic grains, whereas Sirius should have photo/Kodak recorded as 512~1024 times brighter than if that same camera and lens were situated upon Earth. Apparently our NASA/Apollo lunar surveyors felt no need of their including reference stars within any given image, while they did take considerable time and efforts as to telling folks how their cameras and Kodak simply couldn't possibly have recorded stars along with the dark lunar surface at the same time. In fact, according to other official NASA images obtained from more recent satellites of their supposed landing/impact sites were offering a 3~5% albedo zone within which their landing efforts created, which would have been nearly coal black for several hundred meters in all directions.

Re: Stars showing in moon photos

Other stars which include a good amount of near-UV status should have been absolutely vibrant to the unfiltered Kodak eye, therefore at least dimly recorded above the typically dark basalt and carbon/titanium dusted lunar terrain, whereas those same stars as extensively filtered by our atmosphere simply do not photo record as being nearly as blue or even near-blue. The 450~750 nm is what a terrestrial Kodak film and by most any good camera records as the bulk of starlight as it arrives upon the surface of Earth, whereas Hubble being external to most of the atmosphere and thus records the near-UV and into the UV-a at a rather substantially greater intensity, which should have been similar if not of an even greater intensity from the perspective of the moon.

The Kodak film was in fact extremely sensitive to the near-blue spectrum and most certainly of whatever was below 450 nm to nearly 375 nm, and that would certainly have to include whatever near-blue secondary/recoil photons created by all of the raw illumination of near-UV and UV-a energy/m² freely impacting the moon and of anything upon the moon, especially of anything artificial and synthetic (more so noticed if any of these items included extra whiteners or reflective substances) such as moonsuits and certainly the white of those American flags, all of which should have been photo recorded as awash in a bluish tint or color spectrum shift that would have been somewhat noticed by the unfiltered human eye while remaining extremely noticed and thereby recorded by the unfiltered Kodak eye, whereas again I'm speaking as from all of the intensity of the raw solar influx which had to have included a great deal of near-UV and UV-a spectrum energy that may have been at times as good as 256 fold greater than for what's received here upon the surface of Earth.

How about discussing some true to life color images of our moon, such as those obtained from orbit?

At least the orbiting images offered by NASA/Apollo and via newer satellite images having Earth included within frame shows the rather proper contrast of a dark and deeply gold/orange color of the moon. In other words, the moon is not limited B&W as depicted withing most all of the supposed surface obtained images.

I understand there's even a touch of clear atmosphere (perhaps 0.03 psi) and a fairly good amount of titanium dust mixed in with all the carbon dust that covers the majority of meteorites and their impact related shards, as well as for coating the bulk of lunar basalt strewn shards. Thus the natural color of the moon is actually offering a dark golden/orange tint of the titanium. I'm told that in places we talking of a moon dust that's been collected to 10+meters deep, and God only knows there's continually more of that nasty carbon and iron stuff on the way that's arriving at 30+km/s. Actually the solar wind delivers the likes solar clouds containing submicrons worth of mostly iron along at speeds of <600+km/s.

Besides all of that, and with even often mentioning stars I'll continue

Re: Stars showing in moon photos

Re: Stars showing in moon photos

having my say about any number of other phony baloney Kodak moments that were supposedly obtained while situated upon the hot and nasty and basalt dark surface of our moon, here's yet another independent photo analysis as accomplished by American Moon Hoax Investigator Jack White: http://www.aulis.com/jackstudies_index1.html

Of course from 'Apollohoax' and by it's lord and once upon a time master Jay Windley <webmaster@xxxxxxxxxxxx> would have disagreed with absolutely anything and everything that doesn't 100% match his copy of the NASA/Apollo bible (there's almost nothing which Jay isn't a certified wizard at doing and an absolute expert as such), and Jay certainly hasn't been alone. I believe right about now the entire 'apollohoax.com' site is getting another much needed overhaul/reset in order to eliminate all of those 55+% reflective index images and therefore to further dog-wag and thus re-spin and damage-control to the best of their ability, although it's unlikely they'll obtain any formal and/or believable independent support from the likes of corporate Kodak or Hasselblad. Don't even mention secondary/recoil photons because, besides the unusual lack of photographic near-blue, some of those secondaries were of hard-X-ray photons that may have been survivable but otherwise a fairly neat trick as to avoid the DNA as well as photographic impact from such intensity.

Here's yet another oldy but goody proof-positive photographic example (Doble11.JPG) that more than proves our NASA had insufficient brains, though a butt load of cold-war motive and opportunity as to otherwise obtaine all of their necessary photographic capability as to snooker thy humanity, thus clearly involving the best photographic talents and resources on top of their perpetrated cold-war motivation that did coexist back then as it does right now, but always remember that the raw and unfiltered intensity of what generated the near-blue photons should simply have been rather considerable, thus easily Kodak photo recorded as a bluish tint created by the great amount of near-UV and UV/a via raw solar energy/m² that was unfiltered and therefore subsequently creating all of those secondary/recoil photons like there was no tomorrow.

There simply was at least 128 fold if not greater near-UV and UV/a spectrum energy/m² than received here upon the surface of Earth. This following link is another page that's a wee bit all over the freaking place as it covers the fact that those images were recorded as almost exactly as though being artificial Xenon illuminated, instead of having been raw solar illuminated.

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-photo-entro.htm>

<http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/pao/History/alsj/Doble11.JPG> We should have all known this image was another proof-positive matter of fact that earth should have been recorded as roughly 65 degrees above the lunar true horizon when viewed and photographed by the Apollo 11 astronauts. Within the reflection that had been clearly superimposed in those two Apollo 11 astronaut visors, whereas Earth is shown as roughly

Re: Stars showing in moon photos

five degrees above the horizon. At first, not even their own official damage-control borgs had realized until recent years that this was actually another 100% phony promotional disinformation—R—us PR hyped image, exactly as to why so many others had to keep pointing this out and arguing as to what was absolutely to technically dead wrong within this and many other images that simply didn't match those obtained from orbiting along at 100+ km off the deck. Oddly there wasn't all that much if any motion blur recorded in those images, although otherwise their orbit obtained images pretty much matched other terrestrial and satellite obtained images of the lunar surface, with color images recording the nearly coal like dark basalt and somewhat dark gold/orange nature of the titanium deposits, even suggestive of the thick carbon dust of which instantly overwhelmed the Russian lander.

(a somewhat shorter image download w/info)
<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-hot-spots.htm>

BTW; Why the heck is SMART-1 still broken, or is ESA part of the ongoing disinformation—R—us problem?

~

Basic bridge, township & Tarmac that's upon Venus:

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-town.htm>

The LSE-CM/ISS (Lunar Space Elevator):

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/lunar-space-elevator.htm>

A few other spare topics by; Brad Guth / GASA-IEIS

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-topics.htm>

.

- **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ **Re: Stars showing in moon photos**

- ◇ *From:* looter92

- **References:**

- ◆ **Stars showing in moon photos**

- ◇ *From:* eppy

- Prev by Date: **Re: 3/4 OT: Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy?**

- Next by Date: **Re: Lockmart CEV design released**

- Previous by thread: **Re: Stars showing in moon photos**

- Next by thread: **Re: Stars showing in moon photos**

- Index(es):

- ◆ **Date**

- ◆ **Thread**