

Re: Saturn V

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2006-05/msg00665.html>

- *From:* simberg.interglobal@xxxxxxxxxx (Rand Simberg)
 - *Date:* Thu, 25 May 2006 03:51:15 GMT
-

On 24 May 2006 20:29:35 -0700, in a place far, far away, columbiaaccidentinvestigation@xxxxxxxxxx made the phosphor on my monitor glow in such a way as to indicate that:

"And losing seven astronauts in a Shuttle accident >wouldn't put a crimp in Shuttle flights if we were >rational about it."

When analyzing the safety of a space program the application of Murphy's Law, is should be the dominating rule. Calculating risks for vehicle or mission failure depends on the combined risks for the many components of the vehicle, all with varying degrees of criticality.

<snip>

This might be a useful post (emphasis on *might*) if it had some kind of executive summary. As it is, it's useless for Usenet.

.