

Re: Physicist James Van Allen Dies at 91

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2006-08/msg00799.html>

- *From:* "Brad Guth" <bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 10 Aug 2006 14:53:42 +0000 (UTC)
-

I'm sorry folks, but that life of such supposed all-knowing expertise and otherwise good fortune as to having tagged our magnetosphere and of the horrific radiation within, was of no great loss to modern science nor humanity, whereas James Van Allen was yet another entirely bought and paid for soul, as well as being a typical born-again minion/puppet, as having been entirely orchestrated (AKA made for TV) infomercial that required the name of a real person in order to accommodate whatever the mainstream status quo and their ruse of the century had established within their mindset, which obviously included his part in the ongoing effort of sustaining our mutually perpetrated cold-war(s) until hell freezes over or them NASA/Apollo cows come home. Another portion of the truth has once again gone into yet another grave, just the way MI/NSA~NASA likes it.

Our naked and physically dark moon is simply unavoidably at least ten fold if not 100+ fold worse off than any GSO environment that's smack in some of the worse TBI Van Allen badlands that our magnetosphere has to offer. James Van Allen was never of any help, nor can the physics or hard-science be extracted from anything associated with his name.

Just try as you may at getting specific Van Allen bet density or attenuation numbers, especially as to most any given spectrum of lethal solar/cosmic radiation that's getting through those Van Allen belts. Good luck.

For something that's supposedly so life essential, as in representing itself as to sustaining of Earth's protective atmosphere and otherwise further shielding us from some of the worse kinds of DNA trauma, we actually know next to nothing about our badly failing magnetosphere and of those Van Allen belts within. Why is that?

According to every available solar system simulator (of which our NASA and of those sucking up to their infomercial butt, whereas their having the very best of such simulators to work with), missions A-11, A-14 and A-16 each had unobstructed views of Venus as being above that physically dark lunar horizon, and otherwise having at least once been situated as though right next to and/or just below mother Earth, whereas even though appearing as the much smaller item than Earth, it was in fact unavoidably a brighter than Earth item that would have been rather

Re: Physicist James Van Allen Dies at 91

easily recorded as within an official EVA Kodak moment, especially of any unfiltered Kodak moments as recorded upon the likes of their Ektachrome film that was if anything rather sensitive to the bluish, violet, near-UV and even a touch of having recorded into UV-a, as photographing spectrums of light which the 0.75~0.80 reflective albedo of Venus more than represented. Therefore, if anything that's true and of fully replicated science about the photographic process and of following the regular laws of physics, it is that Venus would have photographed as even brighter yet than merely viewed by the human eye.

Yet never once from the lunar surface or as from orbit had there ever been any sign of Venus, or for that matter of anything other than Earth. Therefore our NASA/Apollo wizards are in fact born-again liars, as in big time liars and so much worse. Van Allen was a team player, and that's about all he ever was.

—

Brad Guth

—

Posted via Mailgate.ORG Server – <http://www.Mailgate.ORG>

.