

Re: Rogue Planets in between the stars

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2007-08/msg00261.html>

- *From:* Darrell Lakin <darrelllakin@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 13 Aug 2007 12:27:51 -0700
-

On Aug 13, 2:10 pm, BradGuth <bradg...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

Darrell:

My experience here on the usenet is that not many are familiar with early solar system orbit swapping, near miss, and ejection theory.

They are actually very familiar with everything under the sun that shines upon their flat Earth, as well as having access to the very best of 3D interactive orbital simulators along with our spendy supercomputers, though I might agree that some folks as mainstream status quo minions (easily spotted by their brown nose) are rather dumbfounded, and that's just the tip of our badly polluted and melting iceberg of infomercial physics and skewed to suit whatever faith-based science, of representing what most usenet folks being other than whomever they pretend to be, as having been opposing all that's off-world unless it's totally inert, meaning dead as a door nail and especially forbid as for such research not capable of revising one damn thing is absolutely essential to their swarm like naysay mindset.

I also buy off on the post Apollo moon formation theory of a Mars sized impactor on an early but completely formed "earth". That way the moon could have been completely molten all at once as the rocks suggest.

Buy yourself into all that you like, as there's no actual evidence of Earth having that moon as of prior to 12,000 BP, only conjecture and theory upon theory that's obviously well published into most every other faith-base accepted textbook and science journal you can find, as though it's the one and only word of their typically Jewish God. Sorry about all that.

Re: Rogue Planets in between the stars

A body the size of the moon could not retain this heat for many years and of course it is probably completely cold today. I am unfamiliar with all this talk of heat loss measurement, the lunar L1 designation etc. You realize that classical thermodynamics will come into play here and that heat losses will always vary with time and will not stay linear. Also consider the largely molten state of the earth 4-1/2 billion years after its formation when none of the other planets have been able to perform this feat. That also suggests a large collision of a magnitude that probably formed a large moon whose rocks suggest it was also completely molten at one time.

There is still no direct measurements of the moon's geothermal cache of whatever core energy, such as per whatever's leaving its physically dark surface. Obviously you don't get it, that our salty old moon of either having an unusually low density (perhaps salty) core, or otherwise being somewhat hollow, of which this extremely nearby and massive orb that's keeping Earth a little extra warm from the inside out is simply not made of Earth, and it's not that the moon itself couldn't have impacted Earth via a glancing blow and of its lithobraking/icebraking arrival upon its marry way of having migrated itself into our realm, of having deposited itself into becoming the naked moon of Earth.

My interest is in an exposed planetary core of a past gas giant or ice giant. I do not believe there are two molecules of hydrogen at their core. There is rock and iron enough all the way out to the Ort Cloud. So there is rock enough and iron enough to be at the core of Jupiter under all that metallic hydrogen. Now imagine the sun going nova and stripping all that away. There would be left a core of largely iron with a layer of rock overlaying it (maybe 3/4 to 1/4 ?) and the possibility of exotic materials laying on its surface formed from the rock/iron mix from its metallic hydrogen influenced and stellar nova influenced days.

Possibilities?

Darrell Lakin

Possibilities; you can bet your bottom dollar there's possibilities.

For example, ice is actually a good interstellar thermal insulator and offering an even better radiation shield on behalf of accommodating small, large and complex DNA life as we know it, especially if such ice is extra thick and better yet if such Oort cloud accumulated ice became a little salty. My theory is that of a rogue planet along with its icy moon of perhaps 4000 km in diameter, having a rocky and somewhat salty core of $7.35e22$ kg, as for this icy moon or possibly

Re: Rogue Planets in between the stars

the rogue planet itself having once upon a time impacted Earth at a glancing blow, thereby established and of that moon having ever since sustained our seasonal tilt, and this nifty encounter from such a rogue item happened well after the peak of the very last ice age this humanly over populated Earth is ever going to see, causing antipode generated mountains and established a spare ocean basin here and there, as well as having unavoidably contributed some extent of its salty ice.

You do realize that the very same face of Venus is still somewhat tidal locked to Earth, don't you.

I totally agree with your analogy of there having been and still being such nifty interstellar rogue planets along with a few of those potentially icy moons to boot. If a brown dwarf or especially of any significant black dwarf star is headed our way, as such we could be in for serious trouble in River City (sort of speak), if not on the receiving end of obtaining another planet or moon for our solar system if all goes according to plan.

There's supposedly a missing 97% worth of the universe that's out there, and even if 0.1% of that 97% is comprised of those pesky rogue brown and black dwarf stars along with a few spare binary/trinary iron orbs worth of their planets and icy moons, as such that's a lot of potential mass and worthy items to pick from.

You'd also think that a given brown or black dwarf star represents a fairly old sucker, such as 10s of billions of years older than our solar system's star that's apparently so gosh darn passive that it illuminates upon our guano island looking moon that's somehow anticathode immune to cosmic gamma, as well as otherwise looking exactly as though it were being passively xenon arc lamp spectrum illuminated, thus somehow offering hardly any UV and next to zilch worth of gamma or Xrays, and of somehow making the likes of Venus as invisible as Muslim WMD. I'm not kidding, that is if you absolutely must believe in anything NASA/Apollo.

– Brad Guth

Brad,

Given: "there's no actual evidence of Earth having that moon as of prior to 12,000 BP"

questions: Whats a "BP"? And what's the significance of twelve thousand of them?

.