

Re: What a difference 40 years makes

Re: What a difference 40 years makes

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.history/2008-04/msg00523.html>

- *From:* BradGuth <bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 23 Apr 2008 11:37:38 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Apr 23, 6:48 am, "Jeff Findley" <jeff.find...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

"Brian Thorn" <bthor...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

news:1j0t04h55p51i13hiuqf0vjqb07pplk2nh@xxxxxxxxxx

On Tue, 22 Apr 2008 16:05:54 GMT, "Alan Erskine" <alan.ersk...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

In 1968, Apollo 8 took the famous Earth Rise image; now the Japanese have taken a much sharper image
http://www.jaxa.jp/press/2008/04/img/20080411_kaguya_02.jpg

The Apollo 8 image is still better. Kayuga's Earth looks like a Photoshop job.

My thought as well (and this was after I went to the site to see if there were higher resolution versions of the new pictures).

In a lot of ways, a high end (large format) film camera is still superior to a high end digital camera. The cameras carried by Apollo were actually very good. Unfortunately, the astronauts were a far cry from professional photographers, so it's not terribly surprising that the quality of many of the photos is lacking.

However, the famous Apollo 8 earthrise photo is very good, all things considered.

Jeff

--

A clever person solves a problem.

Re: What a difference 40 years makes

A wise person avoids it. -- Einstein

? You've got access to an actual Apollo frame of film ?

.. - Brad Guth

.