

Re: A Reagan quote

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2004-06/1225.html>

From: jjustwwondering (jwasilewsky_at_hotmail.com)

Date: 06/15/04

Date: 15 Jun 2004 03:25:37 -0700

"Mike Combs" <mikecombs@nospam.com_chg_nospam_2_ti> wrote in message news:<cakq6b\$5sk\$1@home.itg.ti.com>...

>

> *We're all aware that in any disagreement, both sides lable the opposition*
> *"evil". But I'd argue there is a simple, objective, non-culturally-derived*
> *way of distinguishing evil from non-evil.*

>

> *If a philosophy is anti-life or anti-human, it's evil. Otherwise, not.*

A nice idea; however, if "life" stands for "human life" (and not, say, Streptococcus life), then it's redundant.

But that's OK: I'd even add "or anti-reason" – another redundancy.

> *The Nazis were obviously anti-life, at least where the wrong racial*
> *varieties were concerned.*

>

> *The Communists were anti-human, at least in the sense that they sought to*
> *subjugate human wants and needs to the needs of the state.*

Also, anti-life in the very same sense as the Nazis: just substitute "class" for "race".

> *The Islamo-Facists are most certainly anti-life, even to the extent of*
> *glorifying and exalting its opposite: death.*

Also, in the same sense as the Commies and the Nazis: just substitute "creed" for "class" or "race"...