

## Re: Musings on Nasa and the Concept of Truth.

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2004-08/0858.html>

---

**From:** Sander Vesik ([sander\\_at\\_haldjas.folklore.ee](mailto:sander_at_haldjas.folklore.ee))

**Date:** 08/09/04

Date: Mon, 9 Aug 2004 10:38:40 +0000 (UTC)

In sci.space.policy Jonathan <[anon@earthlink.com](mailto:anon@earthlink.com)> wrote:

>

> *I agree completely. But much of the world fervently believes in  
> a personal god. This discovery would show that we are but  
> a drop in an ocean of life. It would be a direct challenge to  
> fundamentalists and creationists all over the world.*

Really? want to give a cite for the "much of the world fervently believes in a personal god"? Because unless you do some very radical restrictiuons of what the world is, it does not appear to be anywhere near truth.

[snip]

>

> *The daily paper constantly drips with the blood of such religious  
> extremism, we fear sparking more of it with good reason.*

Maybe you should move town?

>>

>> *Scientific truth is \*not\* subject to majority vote. On some matters,  
>> interpretations abound, but whatever the final facts are, they are  
>> independent of wether 51% believe in them, or not.*

>

>

> *I completely disagree.*

>

>

> *Truth is that which is unchanging, fixed or predictable. Such as  
> the great 'laws' and 'facts' of science.*

>

> *Please point to me one thing in the universe that is unchanging, fixed  
> or predictable. JUST ONE THING!*

The rest masses of elemntary particles.

sci.space.policy: Re: Musings on Nasa and the Concept of Truth.

- >
- > *The only 'truth' of the universe is that there is ...no...truth.*
- > *There is only what we believe to be true, and we arrive at those*
- > *'truths' by consensus.*

**Bullshit.**

- >
- > *Jonathan*
- >
- > *s*
- >
- Sander

+++ Out of cheese error +++