

## Re: Vote for Bush! Re: Pres Bush Left

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2004-10/2597.html>

---

**From:** Mark Cook (*mcook\_at\_prodigy.net*)

**Date:** 10/21/04

Date: Thu, 21 Oct 2004 19:01:45 GMT

"Eric Chomko" <echomko\_at\_@polaris.umuc.edu> wrote in message news:cl68c6\$7n5\$3@news.ums.edu...  
> *mcook@prodigy.net* (*mcook@prodigy.net*) wrote:  
> : *echomko\_at\_@polaris.umuc.edu* (*Eric Chomko*) wrote in message news:<cl3k49\$j2p\$2@news.ums.edu>...  
> : > *mcook@prodigy.net* (*mcook@prodigy.net*) wrote:  
> : > : "*Dick Morris*" <*ramorris@isomedia.com*> wrote in message news:<10n65a47lshcm74@corp.supernews.com>...  
> : > : > "*Dale*" <*dmh7@citilink.com*> wrote in message  
> : > : > news:4172166E.8010000@citilink.com...  
> : > : > >  
> : > : > >  
> : > : > > *P. Edward Murray* wrote:  
> : > : > > > *Josh*, yes you are correct. The Bushies took the matter to the supreme  
> : > : > > > court and they ruled that it would take too long to do a  
> : > : > > > recount. So,  
> : > : > > > instead of finding out who really won, the supreme court  
> : > : > > > selected Bush  
> : > : > > > as our next president...never mind that there were a heck of a  
> : > : > > > lot of  
> : > : > > > folks that were turned away at the polls, never mind the  
> : > : > > > "Butterfly  
> : > : > > > Ballot" that led quite a few old timers to vote for Buchanan  
> : > : > > > (sp?)  
> : > : > > > rather than Gore, never mind all these things were  
> : > : > > > particularly  
> : > : > > > dishonest.  
> : > : > > >  
> : > : > > > *He cheated...end of story.*  
> : > : > > >  
> : > : > > > *Ed*  
> : > :  
> : > : *The only problem with this analysis is that the recount by the FSC*  
> : > : was  
> : > : *non-binding. Bush was already the certified winner of the state.*  
> : > : Under

- > : > : *the Electoral Count Act of 1887, Congress was not required to accept*
- > : > : *the recount as ordered by the FSC.*
- > : >
- > : > *A fair society would have simply thrown out all the votes in FLA from*
- > : > *election day except the absentee ballots and revoted the following*
- > : > *Tuesday.*
- > : >
- > : > *Eric*
- >
- > : *That would be a violation of the US Constitution. The Electoral Count*
- > : *Act of 1887 allows for Congress, i.e. our elected representatives, to*
- > : *settle these disputes.*
- >
- > *Huh, it took the Supreme Court to do it. Was that not a violation of the*
- > *Constitution?*

The US Supreme Court did not settle the dispute, that was settled in Congress on 1/6/2001. The Congressional Black Caucus challenged Bush's Florida Electors, but they could not get any Senator from the Democrat majority to sign on.

"Washington, DC – Congresswoman Barbara Lee today joined members of the Congressional Black Caucus in opposition to counting Florida's 25 electoral college votes for George W. Bush.

A formal objection to counting Florida's electoral votes must be presented in writing, signed by at least one Senator and one Representative, under 3 U.S.C. section 15. Unfortunately, not one single Member of the Senate submitted an objection, thereby rendering the objection out of order."

<http://www.house.gov/lee/releases/01Jan06.htm>

- > *Besides the Constitution is passe whenever one can raise*
- > *the specter of "national security". Granted the 2000 election was not an*
- > *issue of national security, but 9/11 was. Did you see how fast the*
- > *Constitution was circumvented because of the events of 9/11? It simply was*
- > *useless WRT a terrorist attack, hence something else took its place. In*
- > *fact, we have becoem more and more a National Security State ever since*
- > *WWII.*
- >
- > *Eric*