

Re: Scientists against Bush or Swift Boats against Kerry – whom would you believe? Tin cans don't have minds!

Source: <http://sci.tech–archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2004–11/1017.html>

From: Dick Morris (ramorris_at_isomedia.com)

Date: 11/09/04

Date: Mon, 8 Nov 2004 18:33:02 -0800

"Jack Love" <jackxxloveyy@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:a6auo0lidu3jkcugll2354n0ma4pedn76h@4ax.com...

> On Mon, 8 Nov 2004 00:58:02 -0500, "Kevin Brooks"

> <brooksvmi@notyahoo.com> wrote:

>

>>

>> "Dick Morris" <ramorris@isomedia.com> wrote in message

>>> news:10ot5jaod12lv0f@corp.supernews.com...

>>>>

>>>> "Kevin Brooks" <brooksvmi@notyahoo.com> wrote in message

>>>>> news:NYadnbQNZIgrxPcRVn-1w@adelphia.com...

>>>>>>

>>>>>> "Dick Morris" <ramorris@isomedia.com> wrote in message

>>>>>>> news:10orm5vo1pldp4e@corp.supernews.com...

>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>> "Kevin Brooks" <brooksvmi@notyahoo.com> wrote in message

>>>>>>>>> news:4tSdnYCq99DjBxDcRVn-qg@adelphia.com...

>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>> "Dick Morris" <ramorris@isomedia.com> wrote in message

>>>>>>>>>>> news:10or13fdq4a7hb4@corp.supernews.com...

>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>> "John Redman"

<johnphilipredman@hotmail.com>

>>>>>>>>>>>> wrote

>>>>>>>>>>>>> in

>>>>>>>>>>>>>> message news:cmjr8h\$6uc\$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> "Dick Morris" <ramorris@isomedia.com> wrote

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> You can believe whoever you want to believe. The Navy has
never

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> been

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> in

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> the

>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>> habit of awarding medals to whoever asks for them, so until I

sci.space.policy: Re: Scientists against Bush or Swift Boats against Kerry – whom would you believe? Tin cans don't have

see

> >>> > *your*

> >>> >> > *evidence, I will believe the Navy.*

> >>> >> >>

> >>> >> >> *Unfortunately, that's the problem, Dick. You see, *Kerry**

doesn't

> >>> > *believe*

> >>> >> >> *the Navy. Gets wounded, says it was by enemy fire, gets a PH,*

then

> >> *in*

> >>> > *his*

> >>> >> >> *autobiog he mentions that, as at 10 days later, he'd never been*

> >> *under*

> >>> >> > *enemy*

> >>> >> >> *fire.*

> >>> >> >

> >>> >> > *Let's see some quotes from the book. In context.*

> >>> >>

> >>> >> *OK. Brinkley (a charter member of the Kerry fanclub), in his book*

> >>> >> *"Tour*

> >>> >> *of*

> >>> >> *Duty" (which was essentially commissioned by Kerry himself), quoted*

> >>> >> *the*

> >>> >> *following from Kerry's 11 December 1968 journal entry (nine days*

> >> **after**

> >>> > *he*

> >>> >> *supposedly received his first "wound" in what he has *since**

> >>> >> *characterized*

> >>> >> *as his "first intense combat" on 2 December): "A cocky feeling of*

> >>> >> *invincibility accompanied us up the Long Tau shipping channel*

because

> >> *we*

> >>> >> *hadn't been shot at yet, and Americans at war who haven't been shot*

at

> >>> >> *are*

> >>> >> *allowed to be cocky."*

> >>> >>

> >>> >> *Note the words, "...we hadn't been shot at yet..." Is that good*

> >>> >> *enough*

> >>> > *for*

> >>> >> *you?*

> >>> >

> >>> > *Sounds like a memory lapse, or an editing problem to me. Stuff*

> >>> > *happens.*

> >>>

> >>> *ROFLOL! That was a quote from his wartime journal, written *at the time*!*

> >> *If*

> >>> *you seriously think that he FORGOT having been shot at, at supposedly*

> >> *being*

> >>> **wounded* during the same "engagement", just NINE DAYS earlier, then*

Re: Scientists against Bush or Swift Boats against Kerry – whom would you believe? Tin cans don't have mi

sci.space.policy: Re: Scientists against Bush or Swift Boats against Kerry – whom would you believe? Tin cans don't have

you

> >> *are*

> >>> *wearing your blinders incorrectly--they are supposed to prevent*

> >>> *peripheral*

> >>> *vision, and should not be worn so as to prevent any and all vision*

> >>> *altogether.*

> >>>

> >>> *Don't worry, you are not the only person (or for that matter usenet*

> >> *poster)*

> >>> *who has had a problem explaining Kerry's words from 11 December and their*

> >>> *contradiction of his later claims regarding what *supposedly* occurred on*

> >>> *2*

> >>> *December--most just stick their heads in the sand and ignore all*

> >> *references*

> >>> *to it, not having any kind of sensible answer. At least you took a stab*

> >>> *at*

> >>> *explaining it--your explanation is ridiculous to the extreme, but you*

> >>> *gave*

> >>> *it the ol' college try....*

> >>>

> >> *I haven't read the book, and I suspect you haven't either, so I will*

have

> >> *to*

> >> *go out and buy a copy.*

> >

> > *I am not going to *pay* good money for that fluff piece--that is what*

> > *libraries are for (and reading the excerpts quoted elsewhere on the web).*

> >

> > *I specifically asked you to put the quote in*

> >> *context,*

> >

> > *What more context could you need? He said that as of 11 Dec they had yet*

to

> > *be fired at by the bad guys, Fact is, that is nine days *after* the 2 Dec*

> > *"action" for which he got his first Purple Heart. If you doubt that date,*

go

> > *to his own website and look at the documentation.*

> >

> >> *but you quoted only one sentence, without even a page reference.*

> >> *You did not provide any quote at all for Kerry's first contact with the*

> >> *enemy, just a statement that it was a "later claim".*

> >

> > *Do your own google and you will find where he has commented on the 2 Dec*

> > *mission elsewhere.*

> >

> > *That hardly*

> >> *constitutes evidence. I remember each and every time I got shot at in*

> >> *Vietnam, though the dates tended to fade rather quickly.*

Re: Scientists against Bush or Swift Boats against Kerry – whom would you believe? Tin cans don't have mi

sci.space.policy: Re: Scientists against Bush or Swift Boats against Kerry – whom would you believe? Tin cans don't have

> >

> > *Did you keep a journal at the time, as Kerry did? HE is the source that*

> > *provided those journals to Brinkley--it came back to bite him on the butt*

> > *when his infamous "seared into my memory" crap about supposedly having been*

> > *inside cambodia on 24 Dec came up, and Brinkley had to sheepishly admit that*

> > *Kerry's journal entry from that date did not support that claim, either.*

> >

> >>

> >> *I've had it up to here with quotes being taken out of context and twisted*

> >> *to*

> >> *mean something other than what the person intended.*

> >

> > *And I have had it past there with folks who are so blindly committed to*

> > *Kerry that they are willing to ignore the contradictions in his OWN*

> > *differing accounts. Enjoy the next four years...*

> >

> > *Brooks*

>

> *Mr Morris' reduces his believability by claiming prognosticatory*

> *abilities. They are all frauds.*

Everything I said was the absolute truth, whether you believe it or not.

>

> > *<snip further puffery>*

> >

>

Re: Scientists against Bush or Swift Boats against Kerry – whom would you believe? Tin cans don't have mi