

Re: Anti-Satellite weapon system (was critic of US Space weaponry...)

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2005-01/0583.html>

From: Christian Ramos (cramos027_at_yahoo.com.au)

Date: 01/12/05

Date: 11 Jan 2005 16:33:49 -0800

Josh Model wrote:

> *I think, you're a bit late, Thomas. There already are weapons in space --*

> *ICBMs, comm sats, and Spy sats.*

>

> *I'm not necessarily convinced a space arms race would be so bad a thing.*

Well we'll see if you think so when the Russians and Chinese enter. Given their far superior space capabilities you better be careful what you wish for.

> *First, and most importantly of all, there are no people there.*

No but america uses them directly to target people, in most cases in recent times, civilians.

> *If a war can*

> *be decided by who gets the "high ground" without anyone being killed so much*

> *the better.*

Argh..But you've promised that high ground to other countries. For example, when you siezed certain orbits of other countries to put in DOD satillites, America promised that those countries could utilise those orbits when they have the capability/money to use them. Additionally, see above, the US uses these sataliites to actively kill civilians on the ground.

> *Secondly, it was to some extent a contest between the length of*

> *John and Nikita's members (forgive me if I got the Russian Premier wrong,*

> *I'm a young'un and not too up on my soviet history) that got us to the moon.*

sci.space.policy: Re: Anti-Satellite weapon system (was critic of US Space weaponry...)

Yep, the US did history's biggest PR stunt, Russia on the other hand is the premier space nation.

>

> *I think Jim's "Don't blame us, everybody's doing it" is a sidestep, but a*

> *valid one. No need to be so cantankerous, Thom, but I guess you enjoy it.*

Oh the US is always good at making up reasons for not following treaties or violating international law. Dont need to make up new ones.