

# Re: Space Policy Sucks, while there's Life on Venus

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2005-01/1105.html>

---

**From:** KMoore (Ken.Moore\_at\_gmail.com)

**Date:** 01/16/05

Date: Sun, 16 Jan 2005 16:11:46 GMT

"Brad Guth" <bradguth@yahoo.com> wrote in message  
news:6385c4ff160c3d65943ee4ee5c3928ae.49644@mygate.mailgate.org...

> *It seems that "sci.space.policy" is primarily established as to  
> know-thy-enemy and snooker-thy-humanity, as per avoiding and/or alluding  
> to whatever our moon is accessibly good for, and of what's most likely  
> artificial about Venus is almost as bad off and every bit lethal as the  
> collateral damage and carnage related to all the lies and many other  
> perpetrated disinformation factors about Iraq, China, Russia and  
> countless other nations on this continuing cold-war of our global energy  
> dominating hit-list.*

>

> *For five years and counting (actually ever since before the Magellan  
> mission to Venus), and this is besides all of my observationology and my  
> subjective though honest interpretive contributions, there's always been  
> more scientific and physics proof-positive of other life making a go of  
> it upon Venus than of absolutely everything other than what's situated  
> here upon Earth, of which we unfortunately know of perhaps 10% the life  
> existing upon this Earth, and seemingly we understand almost nothing  
> about that 10%. No one even knows the physics truth about ice traveling  
> through space, much less making it's way onto a planet like Earth.*

>

> *At the rate we're going, consuming vast resources and thereby each of us  
> producing 20+ tonnes/year worth of eliminations per greedy soul, of  
> creating artificial CO2 and absolute loads of other nasty contributions  
> (managing to shift the albedo of Earth by -5%), it will not be long  
> before this known 10% worth of life populating Earth will be sucking on  
> dry energy wells, while the upper most 0.1% argue there's no energy  
> shortages and no such thing as global warming that's summarily roasting  
> and/or flooding the rest of us 99.9% have-nots (scum of the Earth) to  
> death.*

>

> *The topic here is that our "Space Policy Sucks", and I'll defy you to  
> prove otherwise.*

>

sci.space.policy: Re: Space Policy Sucks, while there's Life on Venus

The Space Policy doesn't suck.

I do.