

Re: NASA Claims No Life On Mars and Embargos Mars Rover Data.

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2005-02/2058.html>

From: Thomas Lee Elifritz (*cosmic_at_lifeform.org*)

Date: 02/19/05

Date: Sat, 19 Feb 2005 22:13:48 GMT

February 19, 2005

dar7yl wrote:

> > *I'm not getting an error message. Just a timeout.*
>
> *I guess you are not important enough to get a real error message.*

No, the website was down, a timeout comes from your web browser, Not to worry, I contacted the webmaster, and he got it going again.

> > *Probably they are overwhelmed by billions of viewers wanting
> > to see bizarre alien landscapes in real time, rather than put
> > up with the hard to use scripted and overdue imagery on the
> > official NASA Mars Rover website.*
>
> *So you admit that there is work necessary to maintain a web site?*

Which is why I am getting my data from the automated websites which I listed, where the data is formatted and posted automatically, and not the official NASA website, which is a piece of PR crap.

> > > *Looks like they may be falling behind in their work.*
> >
> > *Actually, no we already demonstrated that the data
> > is already there, ready to go, captured and displayed
> > in a highly automated manner, for instance :*
> >
> > <http://anserver1.eprsl.wustl.edu/anteam/merA/charts/A/1601/2A160117382EDRA2HPN1419N0M10.png>
> >
> > *So clearly your claim is just plain wrong.*
>
> *So they are actually releasing spectroscopy then?*
> *I guess you are annoyed that they aren't spoon-feeding
> you.*

No, they aren't releasing it, we had to hack into that.
Notice the clockcount, that data has not been released yet.
It's not listed, and the folders are password protected.

> >> *Hasn't it occurred to you that these people*
> >> *are very busy with the backlog of images*
> >> *and spectroscopy, and don't have time to*
> >> *continuously update the web, let alone respond*
> >> *to your immature ravings?*
> >
> > *I just explained to you, the exploratorium and*
> > *workbench are highly automated. Most of the*
> > *hard work is done by the rover drivers, and*
> > *one of the reasons they are not investigating*
> > *apparent fossils is that they don't want to*
> > *risk banging the rover, and they have already*
> > *publicly admitted they have no intention of*
> > *discovering life with this mission, as that will*
> > *put all future NASA Mars missions at risk.*
>
> *I think their statement was that the MER objectives*
> *are to find evidence of past water on Mars. The*
> *rovers are not designed to discover life (for instance,*
> *the microscope cannot resolve bacteria).*

But it can resolve microbial mats, which it surely is.

> *How would the discovery of life put future missions*
> *at risk? Except for a small minority of pseudo-religious*
> *fanatics who believe we should be living back in the*
> *dark ages, (and probably voted for your last president)*
> *most people would regard the discovery of life as an*
> *incentive to return sooner.*

Except for those religious fanatics you mentioned,
which control the white house and comprise greater
than fifty percent of America.

> *And yes, some science has to be sacrificed to ensure*
> *the safety of the rovers, so they can possibly discover*
> *more science over the next hill.*

But not evidence of life, as NASA has publicly stated.

> >> *You should be happy that they are releasing*
> >> *new information on a practically daily basis.*
> >
> > *They aren't, that's what I just explained to you.*
>
> *You blathered, slobbered and gibbered, but*
> *there was no information in your rantings.*

No, I clearly demonstrated with evidence that the data is easily released by not password protecting the folders that contain it.

> >> *Yes, I have noticed a dwindling of activity,*
> >> *which I attribute, not to conspiracy, but to*
> >> *simple burn-out after over a year of*
> >> *(most excellent IMHO) scientific study.*
> >
> > *Only because you are a confirmed idiot.*
> > *I've already demonstrated that you are*
> > *not actually looking at the data anyways.*

Meanwhile, NASA continues to deny there is any evidence for life on Mars, when our eyes and all of the evidence clearly indicates that there is, while doing everything it can to prevent further evidence from surfacing. Then there are those idiots who tow that party line without even looking at the evidence, like you, for instance, and only contribute by ineffectual debunking of the truth. Are you on some kind of government grant payroll? Do you actively debunk Global Warming and stem cell research too?

Thomas Lee Elifritz
<http://elifritz.members.atlantic.net>