

## Re: Poison, From the Far Right? (Rocket Fuel...)

**Source:** <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2005-03/0165.html>

---

**From:** Rand Simberg (*simberg.interglobal\_at\_org.trash*)

**Date:** 03/01/05

Date: Tue, 01 Mar 2005 03:58:50 GMT

On Mon, 28 Feb 2005 21:37:07 +0000 (UTC), in a place far, far away, greg@see-web-page.edu (Greg Kuperberg) made the phosphor on my monitor glow in such a way as to indicate that:

>In article <PrudnZhuu9werb7fRVn-qA@dls.net>,  
>Paul F. Dietz <dietz@dls.net> wrote:  
>>I've found it's useful to characterize a position by the quality of  
>>arguments advanced in its defense (on the theory that the best arguments  
>>tend to be the ones used).  
>  
>It's the famous theory of the weak argument. Trial attorneys are deeply  
>fearful of them; a weak argument in court is a much bigger setback than  
>a strong argument from the other side. The only problem is that the  
>theory is false. The world is full of people who make bad arguments  
>for just about every position.

Though not notable successfully, as witness the results in November.  
Or your arguments against manned space...