



>  
> *Then tell us what we've found.*

They did. In the final report. Nothing.

>  
>>  
>>> *Of course, since 2/3 of Republicans*  
>>> *believe we have, your delusion is quite normal for you.*  
>>  
>> *This says that 2/3 of Republicans can actually read, which apparently*  
>> *puts them well ahead of you.*  
>>  
>>>> *What we haven't done is found them in large quantities.*  
>>>  
>>> *Or small, or medium.*  
>>  
>> *I'd say you're merely ignorant, but given your vehemence I think it's*  
>> *out and out lying.*  
>>  
>> <http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,120137,00.html>  
>>  
>> [http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/en/doc/2004-01/11/content\\_297802.htm](http://www.chinadaily.com.cn/en/doc/2004-01/11/content_297802.htm)  
>>  
>> <http://www.intellectualconservative.com/article3448.html>  
>>  
>> <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A24403-2004Jul2.html>  
>>  
>> <http://english.pravda.ru/main/2002/12/05/40413.html>  
>>  
>> *How many would you like?*  
>>  
>> *Liar, Ian. You're merely a liar.*  
>>  
>  
> *None of which say we've found WMD since we haven't. (OK, maybe Faux*  
> *News would say that.)*

Main point is that even the U.S. final report admits that their were no stockpiles or capabilities, only a risk of 'someday' which is meaningless as anyone might do something someday. It was not the necessary 'smoking gun' that would justify a 'retaliatory strike' but merely a selective interpretation of badly flawed intelligence selected to support a private war.