

Re: Shuttle sensor details

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2005-07/msg00618.html>

- *From:* fairwater@xxxxxxxxxx (Derek Lyons)
 - *Date:* Mon, 18 Jul 2005 20:30:15 GMT
-

Peter Stickney <p-stickney@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>> Forgot to add –
>>
>> The blood really runs cold when you replace component 'x' and you
>> get either a) the same failure with different symptoms, or b) a
>> different failure altogether.
>
>It really, really runs cold when the system fails every time with the
>processor in, but perks along just fine with the In-Circuit Emulator.

Or when you finish a long, complex, and difficult test – and perform the final step, a self test of one of the pieces of equipment, which promptly fails.

That one had a happy conclusion though; some quick troubleshooting showed that one the relays that swapped in the test loads had stuck in the operate position rather than changing to the test position.

D.

--

Touch–twice life. Eat. Drink. Laugh.

–Resolved: To be more temperate in my postings.

Oct 5th, 2004 JDL

.

- Prev by Date: [***Re: White Elephant \(was Re: Naming 'the stick'\)***](#)
- Next by Date: [***Re: Spacecraft Operability and the Discovery problems***](#)
- Previous by thread: [***Re: Thoughts on Troubleshooting Intermittant Faults***](#)
- Next by thread: [***Orbiter retirement, the stick and SSME availability***](#)
- Index(es):
 - ◆ [***Date***](#)
 - ◆ [***Thread***](#)