

Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!

Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2005-08/msg00804.html>

- *From:* "Brad Guth" <ieisbradguth@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 14 Aug 2005 08:57:00 -0700
-

Cardman,

It's not that many others and I do not appreciate your verbal stance against our resident warlord(GW Bush), as being that of a certified warmonger that he is, it's just that actions mean 1000% more than whatever words can possibly convey. Your actions of continually supporting our perpetrated cold-war by way of supporting the MI5/NSA~NASA/Apollo rusemaster sting of the century, of such a grandiose fiasco stands as nothing less than the holy grail of truth as to what you'll do in order to brown-nose your way along. Obviously no person likes to discover that they've been so thoroughly snookered, not yourself nor did I appreciate it one damn bit. However, as long as the regular laws of physics do not apply to our moon, and that of whatever hard-science provided as evidence proving that we haven't walked on the moon is continually being excluded by your fun loving mainstream status quo, as well as for their getting a free ride worth of infomercials as hyped along by supposedly nice folks like yourself, there's nothing much other that you could possibly have to say that's any more meaningful nor insightful than what a Hitler minion would have to offer in justifying whatever his or her boss had been doing and/or was planning upon doing that somehow meant that they had nothing whatsoever to do with their collaboration in such crimes against humanity.

It's apparent that some religions have been exactly like our LLPOF politics, in that they don't even include any word nor phrase of their making mistakes, just like our mutually perpetrated cold-war and the demise of JFK was clearly no mistake. Apparently everything happened exactly as it was supposed to and ended with the same desired outcome regardless of whatever's the truth. I'll have to suppose if having a second chance of doing things all over, that yourself and of those you clearly admire would not have altered one damn thing.

Obviously you're the one that's taking items of a given topic out of context, then reinterpreting in order to benefit your mindset upon offering damage-control in order to benefit the task of bucking against whatever skews your pagan religion, of bucking on behalf of whatever your born-again lord and master MI5/NSA~NASA has to offer, including acceptance of all their infomercials and the full monty of whatever they happen to say as the one and only gospel truth, even though it's still rather odd how your pagan religion can't put-up nor shut-up

Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!

Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!

whenever it come down to applying the regular laws of physics and of their own Kodak worth of photographic hard-science, such as per images obtained from supposedly their own orbits that simply doesn't come close to their EVA/moonsuit obtained images. I suppose you never bothered to actually take a look-see at those PhotoShop doctored "moonpans" images of depicting such an expansive lunar expanse of territories of essentially white-out zones, of nearly if not better than 60% albedo as compared to those 80~85% reflective moonsuits that weren't ever hardly dusty at all, if they had a little dust it was a very portland cement dosage of apparently an entirely nonreactive gray color of pumice like substance to boot.

I believe the most reflective of lunar white-out zones has been well documented as a relatively good sized crater (possibly still venting something) that's offering an albedo of 0.25, thus 25% is actually quit illuminated at 1.4 kw/m² but, that simply isn't hardly anything like the vast expanse of what had been supposedly recorded by the highest of quality camera, lens and film of the day, along with only the benefit of a polarised filter, which if anything should have made such an unusually reflective lunar surface as photo-record as somewhat darker (<50% darker than of secondary and/or recoil illuminated items), certainly not lighter.

BTW; the natural and average color of the moon (as verified with Earth in the background) isn't that of cornmeal and portland cement gray, it's actually a fairly dark hue of a golden reddish like composite of carbon, iron and titanium dust as mixed in with a good amount of local basalts that are of the relatively near coal like variation of basalt. Thus from the views obtained by other satellites (terrestrial as well as lunar) and by the Apollo orbit obtained archive of images which confirm upon one another as to the 11~12% albedo and of the extremely rough and crisp amounts of bedrock, meteorite remains and of the enormous cosmic morgue of strewn shards of such impacts are in fact indicating as absolutely nothing at all like any of the images obtained from those Apollo EVAs. In fact, the best resolution available of the lunar surface and especially of the specific Apollo landing sites that would have been impossible for their orbiting CM to have avoided (nor would they have wanted to avoid photo-recording such) are showing us that 100 fold better resolution than any of KECK as offering no such lander site remains of anything less than what has to be classified as looking as what recent impacts should look like.

The impressive Apollo/CM camera and the optional 10X telephoto if such efforts were that of a manned orbiting situation, were each offering terrific 70 mm worth of 50 mm x 50 mm photographic grain resolution of nearly 8192 x 8192 (164 pixels or lines/mm in B&W, roughly half that in color at better than 256 bits/pixel or associated group of emulsion grains), unlike the surface EVA situation, their photographic survey via orbiting CM efforts that could have been accomplished as entirely robotic should have been appropriately band-pass filtered and obviously least impacted by primary as well as secondary/recoil photons, not to

Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!

mention avoiding the surface thermal extremes upon plastic film having to survive being either summarily roasted and/or sub-frozen in the shade wild avoiding all of the secondary/recoil worth of hard-X-rays to boot. Christ almighty, think about it, or are you so pathetically snookered that even the mere notion of thinking has become taboo/nondisclosure?

Think about the color of star dust, of the sorts of raw elements having been tossed at 300+ km/s at the moon and, otherwise attracted via gravity plus the continual happenstance of just having been running into stuff that's either passing nearby or headed for Earth, which isn't of a gray pumice composition of a thin and nicely clumping layer of cornmeal and portland cement like composite. Just the thermal shift from day to night upon the moon insures that there's a great deal of depth to that surface dust, and of the terrestrial satellite X-Ray images of the moon further insures that the reactive nature of the fully solar illuminated terrain is any but non-reactive.

You don't seem to know an honest squat about Kodak physics and yet, you seem more than willing to keep excluding whatever evidence rocks your good ship LOLLIPOP, all because you can't admit as to how thoroughly snookered you and those you've admired have been taken to the cleaners by the sorts of all-knowing folks claiming as having "the right stuff". Apparently the right stuff that suits your life and mindset is exactly like whatever's covering your brown nose. Until you realize that there's a possibility of life outside the big-brother box of whatever our MI5/NSA~NASA has been telling you, there's no great white hope of whatever else can salvage your infomercial and dog-wagged driven soul. I wish that I could help, but then I've honestly tried and quite obviously proven that I'm not sufficiently borg qualified to suit your intellectually incest cloned borgism of such a pagan three-faced religion that has so thoroughly assimilated the likes of yourself into their Skull and Bones hall of fame, in that you've become situated right nextdoor to our resident warlord(GW Bush) that you claim to not admire. What's wrong with this picture?

GW Bush hasn't been our one and only SOB of a bastard and certified LLPOF president, he's just the most recent one that has been quivering his botoxin injected finger over the really big RED-BUTTON of utilizing his lose thermal nuclear cannons in order to secure the remaining coal, oil and NG reserves so that folks like yourself can stay sufficiently cool in the summer and otherwise cozy and warm throughout the winter, while everyone else gets to be roasted and or frozen solid if not blown away or flooded by way of massive global-warming induced storms, or of whatever's left of their economy artificially inflated to the point that they'd rather be dead. Am I that mistaken in the notion that there's something terribly wrong with this situation?

Among far too many horrific events that could have and should have been prevented; How many more Union Carbide orchestrated mass extinctions of humanity suits your mindset?

Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!

Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!

Just like the orchestrated opposition to the best and cleanest resource of energy for humanity is clearly that of solar–stirling/PV and of Wind turbines that are capable of deriving 20 kw/m2 per footprint, next being that of what our moon has to offer is asking of supposedly smart folks like yourself to pitch in with whatever notions, ideas and/or expertise that'll make good things happen, that'll benefit the lower 99.9% as representing the apparent minions as worthy scum of the Earth, and improve upon our environment at the same time, instead of insuring the upper most 0.1% a continuation of their mainstream status quo at the ongoing demise of all others. It's actually quite simple; Are you with humanity and the task of salvaging our environment or, are you exactly like GW Bush as for being against humanity and equally against our environment?

END RANT

~

In spite of the ongoing orchestrated status quo, it seems there's been other life upon Venus

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-town.htm>

Russian/China LSE–CM/ISS (Lunar Space Elevator) as interactive within the ME–L1/EM–L2 sweet–spot

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/lunar-space-elevator.htm>

Of things Sirius, proto–moons, Venus, Earthly ETs & somewhat testy topics by; Brad Guth / GASA–IEIS

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-topics.htm>

.

• **References:**

- ◆ **Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!**
◇ From: William Mook
- ◆ **Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!**
◇ From: Cardman
- ◆ **Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!**
◇ From: Brad Guth
- ◆ **Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!**
◇ From: Cardman
- ◆ **Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!**
◇ From: Brad Guth
- ◆ **Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!**
◇ From: Cardman
- ◆ **Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!**
◇ From: Brad Guth
- ◆ **Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!**
◇ From: Cardman
- ◆ **Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!**
◇ From: Brad Guth

Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!

Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!

◆ ***Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!***

◇ *From:* Cardman

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Air Force Signs Off on SRB-CEV***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Improved lunar landing architecture***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!***
- Next by thread: ***Re: I take objection to NASA's Mars plans!***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***