

## Re: Air Force Signs Off on SRB-CEV

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2005-08/msg01183.html>

---

- *From:* [gherbert@xxxxxxxx](mailto:gherbert@xxxxxxxx) (George William Herbert)
  - *Date:* Sat, 20 Aug 2005 23:51:24 -0000
- 

Rand Simberg <[simberg.interglobal@xxxxxxxx](mailto:simberg.interglobal@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:  
>[gherbert@xxxxxxxx](mailto:gherbert@xxxxxxxx) (George William Herbert) glowed:  
>>> Either approach would be many, many  
>>>billions of dollars cheaper over the life of the  
>>>programs than keeping duplicate production lines  
>>>open.  
>>  
>>The point of this is not to save money,  
>>it's to avoid having a standdown of any one  
>>system take the whole US capability to launch  
>>large sized military payloads away.  
>  
>As opposed to a standown of Shuttle-derived systems, which will result  
>in an inability to get to the moon, and resupply/changeout crew for  
>any base existing there. I guess that's OK, though.

Don't look at me, I don't even work there...

-george william herbert  
[gherbert@xxxxxxxx](mailto:gherbert@xxxxxxxx)

---

• *References:*

- ◆ ***Air Force Signs Off on SRB-CEV***  
    ◇ *From:* Ed Kyle
  - ◆ ***Re: Air Force Signs Off on SRB-CEV***  
    ◇ *From:* Ed Kyle
  - ◆ ***Re: Air Force Signs Off on SRB-CEV***  
    ◇ *From:* George William Herbert
  - ◆ ***Re: Air Force Signs Off on SRB-CEV***  
    ◇ *From:* Rand Simberg
- 
- Prev by Date: ***Re: Air Force Signs Off on SRB-CEV***
  - Next by Date: ***Re: CEV launch - Stick or HLV?***
  - Previous by thread: ***Re: Air Force Signs Off on SRB-CEV***

Re: Air Force Signs Off on SRB-CEV

- Next by thread: ***Re: Air Force Signs Off on SRB-CEV***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***