

Re: Defending the NASA Proposal

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2005-09/msg01169.html>

- *From:* "Brad Guth" <ieisbradguth@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 24 Sep 2005 18:02:42 -0700
-

You simply can't defend what sucks and blows intellectual bigotry and intellectual incest at the same time. You might as well support Hitler, or Popes going postal upon innocent Cathars or worse being our resident LLPOF warlord(GW Bush) sticking it to those innocent Muslims, while mostly black folks are needlessly drowning or otherwise taking more than their fair share of hits throughout large portions of America.

Obviously I have a few minor problems with NASA accomplishing much of anything that's involving humans in space, that's not representing yet another butt-load of cloaks and daggers running us amuck.

You folks need to get real by getting another grip upon reality. Believe in that our NASA has been nothing but an absolute LLPOF spermology of a cult, and that's pretty much about all that pro-NASA retards like yourself need to ever realize.

No matters what your fancy dancy pop-up books and those spendy NOVA infomercials have had to say, we still do NOT have those fly-by-rocket landers, not even so much as scaled to suit gravity prototype, nor have we established a viable and thus safe method of EVA expeditions as having to survived under the raw solar illumination that's a whole lot more than just damn toasty. There's no available moonsuit that a micro-meteorite arriving at 30+km/s can't penetrate and, we certainly can't manage to pack along enough shield in order to prevent a gauntlet of hard-X-rays from nailing our DNA/RNA to a fairlywell.

The Russian and Chinese focus is upon establishing their one and only LSE-CM/ISS, then obviously mining the moon comes next, though even by earthshine remaining as mostly the task of robotics.

BTW; I'm actually pro-NASA, just not pro-LLPOF MI6/NSA~NASA and, I'm certainly pro about our moon being suited for robots that do not have DNA/RNA to die for. Short-term earthshine lunar EVAs are possible for us humans, but that's about it. Besides, at 5 g/cm² worth of surface-tension; how exactly are we planning upon walking about many portions of our radioactive as well as reactive moon?

UNFORTUNATELY, THERE IS A WEE BIT MORE THAN 5 CM WORTH OF DEPTH TO THAT MOON-DUST. If not, then it's the only bone dry moon within our solar

Re: Defending the NASA Proposal

system that isn't dusty.

Thus I for one "oppose" the notion(s) that we even have a freaking clue as to what's happening, much less of what happened 35 some odd years ago.

~

Life upon Venus, a township w/Bridge & ET/UFO Park-n-Ride Tarmac:

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-town.htm>

The Russian/China LSE-CM/ISS (Lunar Space Elevator)

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/lunar-space-elevator.htm>

Venus ETs, plus the updated sub-topics; Brad Guth / GASA-IEIS

<http://guthvenus.tripod.com/gv-topics.htm>

War is war, thus "in war there are no rules" – In fact, war has been the very reason of having to deal with the likes of others that haven't been playing by whatever rules, such as GW Bush.

- **References:**

- ◆ ***Defending the NASA Proposal***

- ◇ *From:* dbohara

- Prev by Date: ***Re: Lunar Architecture Poll***
- Next by Date: ***Re: How to be immune from budget cuts***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Defending the NASA Proposal***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Defending the NASA Proposal***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***