

# Re: More ET foam woes

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2005-12/msg00189.html>

---

- *From:* [liform1@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:liform1@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* 6 Dec 2005 09:27:05 -0800
- 

Len Lekx wrote:

>>> Personally, I prefer using non-cryogenic fuels for launch vehicles.  
>>Unfortunately, non-cryogenic oxidizers as good as LOX are a bit hard to  
>>come by. Hydrogen peroxide doesn't perform as well (although it has

Nasty qualities.

> Peroxide is my oxidizer of choice. So what

that it's nasty.

I like to breathe.

<http://cosmic.liform.org>

---

• *Follow-Ups:*

- ◆ [\*\*Re: More ET foam woes\*\*](#)  
◇ *From:* Len Lekx

• *References:*

- ◆ [\*\*Re: More ET foam woes\*\*](#)  
◇ *From:* Len Lekx
- ◆ [\*\*Re: More ET foam woes\*\*](#)  
◇ *From:* Henry Spencer
- ◆ [\*\*Re: More ET foam woes\*\*](#)  
◇ *From:* Len Lekx

- Prev by Date: [\*\*Re: Plutonium Blurb\*\*](#)
- Next by Date: [\*\*Re: More ET foam woes\*\*](#)
- Previous by thread: [\*\*Re: More ET foam woes\*\*](#)
- Next by thread: [\*\*Re: More ET foam woes\*\*](#)
- Index(es):
  - ◆ [\*\*Date\*\*](#)

Re: More ET foam woes

◆ *Thread*