

Re: Historical comparisons

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2006-03/msg00208.html>

- *From:* royls@xxxxxxxx
 - *Date:* Wed, 08 Mar 2006 06:40:03 GMT
-

On 7 Mar 2006 08:34:04 -0800, "William Mook"
<william.mook@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

That you think I am defending privilege says it all. LOL.

Maybe you don't realize that's what you're doing. But I think you do.
The blatant dishonesty of the following convinces me:

You're a damned socialist or worse,

Wrong. According to the founder of the US Libertarian Party, I'm a
libertarian. I could challenge you to quote me advocating anything
socialistic, but I know you can't, so what's the point?

and you're arguing out of your ass.

I have refuted all your arguments by simply identifying facts of
economics that have been known for centuries, and their logical
implications. The fact that you are unaware of those facts and
dislike those implications does not affect the fact that they are
accurate.

If anyone can participate in a free and open market, giving folks the
ability to own private property in no way creates privileged castes.

Wrong again. Giving people private property in natural resources
does. Always has, always will, by definition. If some people own the
opportunities, and others must pay them rent for access to those
opportunities, equality of opportunity is not even a remote
possibility.

Re: Historical comparisons

And, that you think a working interest spreads risk and that's it, shows you are shuttered in your view of things to protect your flawed socialist paradigm.

ROTFL!! I doubt that you can even define "socialist" accurately.

— Roy L

.