

Re: Mars colonization versus Stanford Torus

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2006-05/msg00103.html>

- *From:* Rand Simberg <simberg.interglobal@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 04 May 2006 06:55:23 -0700
-

Hop David wrote:

Rand Simberg wrote:

Hop David wrote:

Rand argues his personal attacks are not ad hominem.

Which is correct.

90% of my post was on NEAs and delta vee. This was the part you chose to snip. I have no interest in discussing what is and isn't ad hominem.

And yet you did.

Whatever you call it, it reduces the signal to noise ratio around here.

No doubt, though nowhere near as much as almost anything that Eric Chomko (and others) post as a matter of course.

And who might these others be?

Re: Mars colonization versus Stanford Torus

(Scanning the ng...) Ah. "First Cosmonaut Yury Gagarin Proposed Reconstruction Of The Church Of Christ The Saviour"

Well, that wasn't necessarily the "others" to whom I was referring. I was leaving them unnamed to protect the guilty.

.