

Re: Man-Rating Atlas V

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2006-10/msg00116.html>

- *From:* "Jeff Findley" <jeff.findley@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 3 Oct 2006 16:01:45 -0400
-

"Jonathan Goff" <jongoff@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:1159853325.119596.102830@xx>

Personally, I'm a fan of missions that involve dozens of launches. So long as it drives the cost per pound down, and doesn't require NASA to build and operate it's own vehicles at great expense. I get the feeling that this design path that is supposedly the "quickest way to get back to the moon" will not only cost more, and deliver less than a more sensible, less NASA-centric implementation of the Vision, but it will also take far longer, and in the end be less safe, and less dependable.

Sounds like the space shuttle program all over again, doesn't it?

Jeff

--

"They that can give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety"

– B. Franklin, Bartlett's Familiar Quotations (1919)

.