

Re: Dr Bussard's research

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-02/msg01035.html>

- *From:* simberg.interglobal@xxxxxxxxxx (Rand Simberg)
 - *Date:* Tue, 27 Feb 2007 22:51:28 GMT
-

On Wed, 28 Feb 2007 09:18:15 +1100, in a place far, far away, Sylvia Else <sylvia@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> made the phosphor on my monitor glow in such a way as to indicate that:

Just
because
you
think
that
air
breathing
engines
are
a
non-starter
doesn't
mean
you're
right.
After
all,
there
are
people
with
an
acknowledged
expertise
in
the
area
who
are
pushing
the
idea.

Re: Dr Bussard's research

And there
are many
more
(including
me) who are
pushing the
opposite.

I wasn't aware that
engineering facts could be
determined democratically.

Neither was I. So what was *your* point?

My point was that I'm not self evidently wrong. I didn't
claim
majority support for my position. You did for yours.

No, I was merely responding to your argument by authority with an
argument by even more authorities.

So now we're to enter a debate on what does, or does not, constitute an
argument from authority?

Nup, I can't be bothered.

I'm not surprised, since that's all you seem to have. You certainly
don't seem able to back it from the physics, whether you understand it
or not.

(Just in case, though, here's one hint: I didn't claim a "majority,"
so your claim doesn't even stand.)

.