

Re: NASA TRIES, FAILS TO WITHHOLD PLANETARY DEFENSE REPORT

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-05/msg00193.html>

- *From:* Ian Parker <ianparker2@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 17 May 2007 03:28:01 -0700
-

On 16 May, 20:06, simberg.interglo...@xxxxxxxx (Rand Simberg) wrote:

On 16 May 2007 10:43:17 -0700, in a place far, far away, Ian Parker <ianpark...@xxxxxxxx> made the phosphor on my monitor glow in such a way as to indicate that:

On 16 May, 13:45, Mike <yard22...@xxxxxxxx> wrote:

fromwww.fas.org

NASA TRIES, FAILS TO WITHHOLD PLANETARY DEFENSE REPORT

The National Aeronautics and Space Administration earlier this year attempted to block public access to a comprehensive report on planetary defense against asteroids, but the document found its way into the public domain anyway.

Why the secrecy? This only serves to confirm my view that NASA is a giant bureaucracy where people are intent on saving their arses.

We see you slunk away and hoped we'd forget, but we're still waiting to see scans of Leahy and Daschle's death certificates, Ian.

Right here are the references. I have to make a confession here. As you can probably judge I gave the account on recollections. The reports in fact say that they contracted Anthrax but recovered.

Re: NASA TRIES, FAILS TO WITHHOLD PLANETARY DEFENSE REPORT

<http://www.fas.org/irp/threat/cbw/anthrax.pdf>

This reference is rather glutinous. It consists of an almost diary account about what happened. Its main shortcoming is that it does not cover the most recent events. Original investigations, which I recollected said that the Anthrax had come from a US military program. The latest reports say that the Anthrax was pure but did not have any penetration aids, such as silica, in it. BTW there is a biological weapons program. Also looking through you can see that the investigation was carried out in a very amateurish way. The report towards the end mentions tensions between the FBI, CIA and the military.

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/09/24/AR2006092401014.html>

This makes it clear that no additives (silica) were present. Why this was thought to be the

Dr. Hatfill BTW is taking legal action against the authorities. I am anti bureaucratic, I am not anti American. American, unlike Zimbabwean or Turkish, justice is capable of putting things right and challenging bureaucratic power. America as you rightly say has achieved great things in the PRIVATE field. American universities are acknowledged to be the best in the world, the Internet was created in America.

Basically liberal capitalism is the best system. In the PUBLIC sphere America seems to be peopled by a load of liars and incompetents. Why? Is it that Americans want a commercial, or possibly academic career and that government/military is very much a second choice? American academics get quite a good basic salary as well as opportunities for commercial augmentation. Is it that large organizations (like NASA) simply have a momentum of their own. As I said one would think that LGM were directing asteroids.

<http://www.anthraxinvestigation.com/>

This is a more or less encyclopaedic compendium with loads and loads of other references. It seems to believe.

- 1) That Al Qaeda had nothing to do with it.
- 2) That it was brewed up in a commercial or academic lab in the New Jersey area.

If this was right someone must know who did it. People in a modern lab simply don't work unsupervised on their own to that extent. It could have been a foreign power like the Russians. Why they should want to I really don't know.

What gives rise to suspicion is fundamentally the amateur nature of the investigation.

The main point is the reduction of existential risk for everyone. I think Stephen Hawking has done us all a great disservice by wittering on about a Martian colony – complete pipedream until we have quantum leaps in technology. Most we could ever do without some sort of VN capability would be to provide some sort of habitat on Mars which would eventually wear out and fail completely to be self sustaining. It would be an expensive and pointless exercise. Reduce the existential risks for everyone. I should have done the research I have now done before posting. I will admit that. I just felt hot under the

collar.

On warp drive. Have I read too much science fiction?

<http://www.nasa.gov/centers/glenn/research/warp/warp.html>

<http://www.americanantigravity.com/categories/Research/Lifter-Experiments/>

There are documents. A REAL warp drive as I have said would be extremely dangerous. Some of the antigravity experiments are subject to an alternative explanation, such as the air pressure in the middle of a vortex being reduced. We say that the Wright brothers flew, they threw down a mass of air at 9.81M m/sec every second. Why do we insist that VTOL by means of rotating air is antigravity. It isn't! It is 9.81M m/sec, same as the Wrights, same as any common or garden airplane or helicopter. We come to another point – deliberate disinformation. Won't propel you into space – might be useful though on blended wing aircraft. Either people are bare faced liars or they are taking grave risks. Of course a virtual helicopter is, in fact, only dangerous to its crew. Why do people claim anything else?

– Ian Parker

.