

Re: NASA TRIES, FAILS TO WITHHOLD PLANETARY DEFENSE REPORT

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-05/msg00409.html>

- *From:* Ian Parker <ianparker2@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 24 May 2007 03:13:42 -0700
-

You may know things – I have no way of checking up on that I have no independent verification. A scientist always requires independent verification and looks at the track records of people making claims. Quite frankly these rabge from bad to atrocious.

- 1) Iraq dossier
- 2) Terrorism in Cuba. The only terrorists there are those supported by the CIA.
- 3) All wars (which are now elective) seem to go pear shaped. A little thought and the whole Iraq saga could have easily been avoided.

I simply don't believe you have the facts. If you had history would have run a different course. I don't believe in political discussions in scientific groups but if you persist I will delve into Latin America. It seems to me that "Communismo" and "Terrorismo" are synonomous. The only difference being the tense "Ser terrorismo" but "Haber ser comunismo". That is the way it declines. It is purely a word of abuse and any information was, in both cases, manufactured by the CIA and used to describe any régime which was opposed to American interests.

Democracy was blocked until recently. Latin America was carved up by the conquistadores into large estates or haciendas. On independence from Spain, the Spanish link was broken but haciendas remained. I think an opportunity was lost way back in the past then.

The Hacienda owners during the Cold War lobbied the CIA who looked after their interests. Latin America desparately needed land reform (it still does and democratically elected governments are at long last tackling).

If you persist I will research out more details on Latin America about how all the various coups were engineered.

– Ian Parker

.