

Re: John Young says CEV

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-05/msg00514.html>

- *From:* "Jonathan" <write@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 26 May 2007 08:27:18 -0400
-

"kT" <cosmic@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:08O5i.70\\$Et5.0@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:08O5i.70$Et5.0@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Jonathan wrote:

"kT" <cosmic@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:TEF5i.19\\$8p2.5@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:TEF5i.19$8p2.5@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

A strong president would shit can the entire idea, which was just an

ATK

feeding frenzy to begin with, and tie the entire institutional assets

of

the United States (NOAA, NASA, NSF, NWS, NHC, geological services, etc, into a real live 'Manhattan' style project to confront global warming,

Exactly! A grand purpose is worth the effort. The larger and more ambitious the goal, the greater chance for success. Provided every aspect of the goal stretches, but doesn't break, the realm of possibility. And can be accomplished within a couple of political cycles.

Well this particular problem will have to be sorted out in a single two term presidential cycle because we are already at the point of no return right now. We need a suite of all liquid shuttle replacements by 2010

Re: John Young says CEV

I'm all for that. But I'd like to see them used for something else obviously.

and we need an all liquid space colonization demonstrator by 2016,

Or a gigawatt class space solar power demonstrator.

so we
can be ready to go immediately after DAWN. I can't believe those idiots
will have wasted four full years on this shit. We've got to finesse it :

I'm starting to believe the military will be the ones building
all the space infrastructure. They haven't been wasting their
time, have lots more money and are very motivated.
Looking back, military technology and surplus planes
sure came in handy with starting up commercial aviation.

Why should it be much different with commercializing space?

The thing that keeps bugging me about that Chinese asat test
is that in a war our enemy will simply toss so much debris
into space that low earth orbits will be useless for everyone.
And in orbit any hardware is vulnerable to boot.

Sub orbital can deliver troops and warheads just fine right?
I bet the military will end up taking over the winner of the
sub orbital passenger competition. And turns the best
design into a troop carrier.

<http://cosmic.lifeform.org/?p=305>

—
Get A Free Orbiter Space Flight Simulator :
<http://orbit.medphys.ucl.ac.uk/orbit.html>

Re: John Young says CEV