

## Re: John Young says CEV

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-05/msg00527.html>

---

- *From:* Hop David <hopd@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sat, 26 May 2007 14:11:14 -0700
- 

Jonathan wrote:

Evidently you have misread Hallerb's statement and Henry's reply. Please reread them more carefully.

He stated it was unlikely the CEV would be trashed. Which is another way of saying he believes it's likely the CEV will be built.

Yes, that's a sensible interpretation.

I responded

as if he used the second form

No, you questioned his use of the work "unlikely."

and I was trying to say it's a sure thing

it'll be built since we must have a shuttle replacment.

No, you weren't seconding his opinion, you were disagreeing with him. Your exact words: "Unlikely? More like a sure thing."

Then later you wrote:

"What you guys don't get is this. When that time comes if nothing else better/worthwhile is not ready to take the place of the Vision. Then the entire manned space program is all but finished from a lack of interest and purpose." as if you were arguing against someone defending the longevity of Bush's Vision.

Re: John Young says CEV

When you improve reading comprehension you'll be better able to assess what concepts others understand. Until then you are in no position to tell anyone what they get or don't get.

Hop

.