

Re: Russian spaceship maker fights bankruptcy

Re: Russian spaceship maker fights bankruptcy

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-08/msg00015.html>

- *From:* BradGuth <bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 01 Aug 2007 14:31:48 -0000
-

On Jul 31, 7:45 pm, Einar <eina...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

BradGuth wrote:

On Jul 31, 2:32 pm, "Jeff Findley" <jeff.find...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Russian spaceship maker fights
bankruptcy <http://www.cnn.com/2007/TECH/space/07/31/russia.space.bankruptcy.reut...>

Not a lot of info in the above article...

Jeff

--

"They that can give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety"

– B. Franklin, Bartlett's Familiar Quotations (1919)

China should take on 51%. If not China, then India or perhaps Japan could pick up 51%, especially a good buy if that Russian spaceship maker is down to 10 cents on the dollar.

Of course, we could always mutually restart that fully perpetrated cold-war, and let those cold-war bags of our hard earned loot start flowing in the direction of yet another WMD and space race. If not an actual for real race to our moon or of its L1, then how about accomplishing Venus, or at least POOF City at VL2 ???

– Brad Guth

Re: Russian spaceship maker fights bankruptcy

Brad, I find it extremely unlikely that Putin would allow a foreign buyer of such a strategic asset. More likely the boss of Energiya displeased him, and it's set to be taken over by someone more pleasing to Putin.

Cheers, Einar– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

I agree that Russia has little if any intentions of allowing outsiders to rape and pillage one of their own, especially when governments as such have always been fully capable of raping and pillaging their own kind.

The last thing our rusemaster partner in such perpetrated cold-war crimes against humanity is ever going to allow is the truth about how damn risky space travel outside of our protective magnetosphere actually is. ISS at 15 g/m² has to avoid the SAA contour, whereas anything associated with getting safely to/from Mars would need to be at least ten fold better off, along with every soul having their cache of banked bone marrow in case they actually make it back home alive.

Even them Russian fly-by-rocket landers are still as much hocus-pocus as were those of ours. Odd how their R&D and whatever prototype proof-testing is still so taboo/nondisclosure rated. There's not even film of their best results that would suggest either of us ever had "the right stuff" for getting much of anything safely onto our moon, at least not by other than impact or possibly by way of hard landings that would have in most locations sunk out of sight.

– Brad Guth

.