

Re: DIRECT launcher article in AIAA Houston Horizons

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-08/msg00255.html>

- *From:* gaetanomarano <mail@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 13 Aug 2007 06:42:15 -0700
-

On 13 Ago, 04:26, Jon <j...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Aug 12, 7:32 pm, gaetanomarano <m...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

MY EMAIL TO AIAA and HORIZONS EDITOR (about "Direct") >>>

To: AIAA and Horizons Editor

I'm sorry for the powerful "Direct" lobby... but the FIRST rocket of this kind is my "FAST-SLV" published on my website in May 12, 2006 and (in the same days) on SEVERAL space forums and blogs like NewMars, HobbySpace, Universe Today, etc.

The (similar) NASA (?) "Stumpy" was published on NSF in July 2006 and the (original...) "Direct Launcher" in August 2006 on NSF (FOUR MONTHS AND HUNDREDS POSTS LATER MY "FAST-SLV" CONCEPT).

Ok, I've not a "FAST-SLV" lobby... that's why "Direct" has a full (never deleted in last nine months) Wikipedia "commercial" article, while, my Wiki FAST-SLV links are regularly deleted within a few hours... but, if the FREEDOM of the U.S. Press is TRUE (and STILL exists) I believe that AIAA (and ALL space websites, forums and blogs) should publish this email to evidence WHO (me) WHEN (four months

Re: DIRECT launcher article in AIAA Houston Horizons

before "Direct") and WHERE (on my website) the idea of Single Launch Vehicle (built with ready available, cheap and man-rated STANDARD Shuttle-derived hardware) was FIRST proposed:

http://www.gaetanomarano.it/articles/005_SLVnow.html

Best Regards

Gaetano Marano

.– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Gaetano:

Let me say that even though DIRECT was announced in October 2006, it had been worked on for the year prior to that. And even then, the concepts had some heritage from previous NASA designs. In fact, I recall a Heavy Lift Launch Vehicle design more than fifteen years ago that bears a resemblance to DIRECT – and your design.

It's not about who is first, or even who came up with what idea. It's about keeping an open dialogue to ensure that the Vision for Space Exploration gets the best possible tools to fill the needs. It's not about any individual's vanity.

Jon– Nascondi testo tra virgolette –

– Mostra testo tra virgolette –

..

Jon

the Direct concept was first posted on NSF in August 2006, however (August or October) it's always SEVERAL months AFTER my FAST-SLV concept was first published on my website and many important space forums and blogs (that all space "experts" read daily...)

Re: DIRECT launcher article in AIAA Houston Horizons

in latest months, when I've said that on some space forums and blogs, I've received answers similar to yours here "it had been worked on for the year prior to that" but, when I've asked them to have an EVIDENCE of that (from a reliable and credible source) they haven't posted NOTHING (since that evidence, simply, doesn't exist)

about NASA studies, that could be made (then rejected) but, if the Direct guys are aware their concept is NOT NEW (since already proposed, months/years before, in my website or by NASA studies) why do they propose it as a product of their minds/studies??? (and why do they insist to propose a Xerox-copy of a NASA project?)

and, yes, the (real/main) problem is NOT about "who is first" nor "individual's vanity" but it's much WORSE since it's a problem of journalists' HONESTY and of FREEDOM of Press!

I think/believe that every (really free and honest) journalist, space magazine, news website, forum, blog, etc. that KNOW my proposals (like you now) should publish articles, reviews, posts, etc. ALSO on them (clearly evidencing WHEN that proposal was FIRST published)

COULD an important US newspaper say that the first man in space was Glenn rather than Gagarin?

clearly NOT ...but THAT is EXACTLY what happens DAILY with the "original" Direct concept!

COULD Wikipedia publish a full article on Glenn while DELETING all links to articles about Gagarin?

should be NOT ...but THAT is EXACTLY what happened in last nine months with Direct and my FAST-SLV

it's a problem of HONESTY and FREEDOM OF PRESS ...not of vanity...

or, maybe... the "Direct" lobby has so much "power" on Press than US Presidents could never "dream" to have... ?

gaetano

..

.