

Re: Google/X-Prize Moon Contest

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-09/msg00582.html>

- *From:* BradGuth <bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 23 Sep 2007 19:56:05 -0000
-

On Sep 13, 12:21 pm, Joe Strout <j...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Comments?

If not Russia, it'll likely become China or India to the rescue of rightfully obtaining that GOOGLE X Prize for accomplishing the task of roving and snooping about the surface of our moon w/o NASA. Of course, Russia for the very same mutually perpetrated cold-war reasons wouldn't dare be caught dead accomplishing any such thing that would be so publicly reviewed and unavoidably compared to those supposed previous moon soft-landings with rover capability, which leaves us with pondering as to what the likes of China or India should deliver. Go figure as to why Japan's LUNAR-A mission has been officially on hold for more than a decade, with one pathetic excuse after another is what makes an honestly deductive mind wonder as to what's actually there worth hiding.

The USSR/Russian method had been operating at better than ten fold more dollar per dollar or per whatever work credit efficient, and perhaps achieving another ten fold worth of R&D as effectively applied technology, and thus having been far more capable of their having affordably accomplished most any given fly-by-rocket robotic task. They simply didn't bother to orbit the moon in person or much less walk on the moon because, they didn't want to risk having any more dead astronauts than necessary, or otherwise to invest in what simply could not be justified on any basis of demonstrating better physics or improved science that wasn't already known or simply better accomplished via orbital probes and robotics.

Getting a one-way science package onto that moon was however technically doable, although not of any fly-by-rocket soft-lander configuration that you'd care to invest your frail life of human DNA. Moon rovers of that mutually perpetrated cold-war era were unlikely to function due to their given mass, the extremely electrostatic and deep dust of such a cosmic morgue worth of crystal dry substances offering extremely little surface tension. In other words, unless you've landed upon a rock that's offering a sufficient vertical grade, it's

Re: Google/X-Prize Moon Contest

easy to sink out of sight as based upon those hocus-pocus lander/rover designs.

Haven't you ever taken an open mindset of any look-see, on behalf of any one on one fair notice, as to how much physically darker and rather nasty deep golden brownish (as nearly open coal pit albedo looking) that fully solar illuminated moon actually is, such as obtained within the very exact same FOV and thus same film or newer CCD exposure relationship, as to having included mother Earth that's not even half as bright as Venus would have been depicted.

<http://www.lpi.usra.edu/resources/apollo/>

There are actually many other examples of images from orbit as still offered within those Apollo archives that so far haven't been lost or intentionally destroyed, as well as more than a few others contributed ever since, that is if you'd care to honestly look, which proves that Venus and a few other off-moon items should have been unavoidably included in such unfiltered Kodak moments. In addition to hard photographic science that's fully replicated to death, any fully interactive 3D orbital simulator will more than prove this out.

Though Venus was always a much smaller crescent item to have included, though little but nearly three fold brightness to that of Earth should have been impossible to excluded of what such an unfiltered Kodak moment should have easily recorded with dynamic range to spare, is simply further proof positive that our rad-hard astronauts of whatever "right stuff" makes them into happy campers never set any official moonboot worth of their human DNA on that physically dark and nasty moon of ours.

There's simply no freaking way that any of those NASA/Apollo EVA unfiltered Kodak moments were obtained as having been officially stipulated, and unfortunately for our NASA/Apollo suck-up freaks of nature, there's so much more of their very own physics and of the best available science that doesn't add up on behalf of those NASA/Apollo missions being the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

Unlike that warm and fuzzy government and their faith-based puppeteers of their forever and ever world that has those unlimited fossil and yellowcake reserves along with unlimited terrain and vast oceans with unlimited food to subsequently pillage, rape and pollute, plus that swarm mindset of their human infrastructure which supposedly never tells a lie, whereas unfortunately my world has been getting a little extra toasty, as well as getting down to the spendy dregs of our fossil energy and the even spendier birth-to-grave aspects of utilizing yellowcake, thereby my world with it's badly failing environment continually lies it's federal, state, county and local infomercial spewing butts off all the time, and it further lies about and/or excludes upon evidence of whatever's the truth without so much as offering a speck of remorse, all because their sorted past of whatever collateral damage and carnage of the innocent, plus locally spendy fiascos, supposedly doesn't count if any of it happened in the

Re: Google/X-Prize Moon Contest

past (meaning as of any mistakes or pesky over/under sights older than yesterday doesn't count as long as they're kept in charge of the future).

– Brad Guth –

.