

Is you this James Behling?

# Is you this James Behling?

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-11/msg00394.html>

---

- *From:* kT <cosmic@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Sat, 10 Nov 2007 11:21:00 -0600
- 

behlingjo@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Nov 10, 10:21 am, kT <cos...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

behlin...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Nov 7, 6:25 pm, kT <cos...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Considering that such an extremely advance upper stage has recently been stretched in order to incorporate more fuel. Any comments, Mr. Talking out your ass without even a website with designs and numbers to show US.

No comment, none needed. There is nothing significant.

Nothing at all significant about 300,000 pounds of cryogenic fuel loaded onto an upper stage that weighs only 35,000 pounds? Au contraire, fair pony tailed minion. I sense a thrust to weight breakthrough here, that does not require the assistance of a very large five segment horses ass.

My website?  
NASA.gov  
It my employer's  
But speaking of an ass

That would be the stick,

That make's real sense. The stick is an "ass". Not!  
Obviously by someone who does know squat about anything and it not in the aerospace business

I'm in the intellectual property business.

I have a website with lots of numbers, do you want to look at it?

Is you this James Behling?

Is you this James Behling?

Mineth numerals maketh sense : <http://webpages.charter.net/tsiolkovsky>

You don't have to tell me that the stick is bad. Known it for years.

Yes, you really are a rocket scientist.

The problem is you don't known why. And it is not the upperstage

You're right, there is nothing wrong with that upper stage, except, well, the oxygen is in the wrong location for atmospheric flight, the engine is the wrong engine for atmospheric flight, and it's greatly overdesigned for a booster, that apparently, due to weight reductions and the inevitable failure of Bush's moon program, it no longer needs.

Actually, the engine doesn't exist, but I have some that do.

Is this you?

<http://science.ksc.nasa.gov/shuttle/missions/sts-96/images/medium/KSC-99PP-0204.jpg>

Hey look, it's a dead astronaut. Way to go Jim! Let me guess, you really are a rocket scientist!

I wish you many and various mass and performance surprises and solutions to be revealed November 22, 2007.

.

Is you this James Behling?