

# Re: ISS after completion

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-11/msg00631.html>

---

- *From:* BradGuth <[bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 14 Nov 2007 19:59:48 -0000
- 

On Nov 14, 11:46 am, American <[samuelran...@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:samuelran...@xxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Nov 14, 2:38 pm, BradGuth <[bradg...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bradg...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Nov 14, 9:07 am, Harmon <[harmon.ever...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:harmon.ever...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

But then, if microgravity research requires a totally separate vehicle, is there an advantage to having it attached to the ISS? And if a space resort high inclination orbit is not suitable for Moon and Mars expedition outfitting, is that also better achieved by using a separate new vehicle?  
Harmon

Are you folks actually that totally dumbfounded about using the extreme microgravity/picogravity of our moon's L1?

--

Brad Guth

Jeez, Brad, can we first get a start-up launch industry off the ground? I mean, it's like the moon has become soooo secondary to being fundamentally interested in the \*possibilities\*.

American

No we can't "get a start-up launch industry off the ground", all because of our puppet government and of it's Zion corrupted NASA is at the very root of our problems that are about to go WWII postal on us.

Re: ISS after completion

The taking of our moon's L1 by China or India well in of itself take on the full control of whatever future Earth/moon related space depot/gateway matters to whatever to/from off-world travels and the mining of our moon.

You folks are simply 100+% snookered and/or dumbfounded past the point of no return, and your clearly brown-nosed denial of being in denial is simply further proof positive that I'm right.

BTW, since our moon's L1 is taboo/nondisclosure rated, why don't you want to relocate ISS to Venus L2?

—  
Brad Guth

.