

Re: ISS after completion

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2007-11/msg00632.html>

- *From:* American <samuelransom@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 14 Nov 2007 13:17:41 -0800
-

On Nov 14, 2:59 pm, BradGuth <bradg...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Nov 14, 11:46 am, American <samuelran...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Nov 14, 2:38 pm, BradGuth <bradg...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Nov 14, 9:07 am, Harmon <harmon.ever...@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

But then, if microgravity research requires a totally separate vehicle, is there an advantage to having it attached to the ISS? And if a space resort high inclination orbit is not suitable for Moon and Mars expedition outfitting, is that also better achieved by using a separate new vehicle?
Harmon

Are you folks actually that totally dumbfounded about using the extreme microgravity/picogravity of our moon's L1?
—
Brad Guth

Jeez, Brad, can we first get a start-up launch industry off the ground? I mean, it's like the moon has become

Re: ISS after completion

soooo secondary to being fundamentally interested in the *possibilities*.

American

No we can't "get a start-up launch industry off the ground", all because of our puppet government and of it's Zion corrupted NASA is at the very root of our problems that are about to go WWIII postal on us.

The taking of our moon's L1 by China or India well in of itself take on the full control of whatever future Earth/moon related space depot/gateway matters to whatever to/from off-world travels and the mining of our moon.

You folks are simply 100+% snookered and/or dumbfounded past the point of no return, and your clearly brown-nosed denial of being in denial is simply further proof positive that I'm right.

BTW, since our moon's L1 is taboo/nondisclosure rated, why don't you want to relocate ISS to Venus L2?

--

Brad Guth

I HAD thought of that. It's just that wars and rumors of wars are supposed to bring about the perception of the end times prophecies coming to pass, and who'd rather wait for that to happen? (Not me). Remember, Brad, there are many, many people who do not have any involvement whatsoever with the war machine, yet we have become afflicted by "collateral spending" so much so that the future of private enterprise is at stake.

This was and still is a nation that was founded upon the unalienable rights of its citizens to pursue life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, according to the Declaration of Independence, and NOT the Constitution. There's a difference here. Benjamin Franklin wrote, "The Constitution only gives people the right to pursue happiness. You have to catch it yourself".

So the question for all Americans for the next election is "Are we in the process of deciding when the U.S. hurts itself more – is it through our own reprobate system of economics, or is it the fact that we have become so much like ancient Sparta, that we will eventually succumb to the temptations of power and might, and be forever indebted to the one world government?"

(If it's the one world government, then we already know who the victor is, and it's NOT the great Satan, understand?)

Re: ISS after completion

Re: ISS after completion

American

.