

# Re: Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama Discuss Space Policy

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2008-02/msg00552.html>

---

- *From:* Ian Parker <[ianparker2@xxxxxxxx](mailto:ianparker2@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 18 Feb 2008 04:21:14 -0800 (PST)
- 

On 17 Feb, 18:48, [simberg.interglo...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:simberg.interglo...@xxxxxxxx) (Rand Simberg) wrote:

On Sun, 17 Feb 2008 12:32:34 -0600, in a place far, far away, "Jorge R. Frank" <[jrfr...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:jrfr...@xxxxxxxx)> made the phosphor on my monitor glow in such a way as to indicate that:

No, it's really not possible to  
"work around it." There is  
no  
"profit" in trying to carry on  
a discussion with him at all.

And yet, you keep replying to him.

"Replying to him" != "having a discussion with him." And I  
rarely do  
so. I ignore most of his posts.

I should note that if he's in your killfile, this won't be obvious to  
you.

Usually (though admittedly not in this case), the only time I  
respond  
to him is to disabuse others of his latest fantasy about me. If  
he'd  
stop taking my name in vain I'd just killfile him. I probably  
will  
anyway.

You should. It is just a compulsive thing with him. He replies to people  
who reply to him. He used to do the same thing to me. He hasn't done it

Re: Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama Discuss Space Policy

since I killfiled him.

Yes, but I don't recall him ever making up things about what you wrote, or believe. For some reason, I seem to attract personal attacks from the moron brigade (Chomko and Parker, among others).

And I should note preemptively for people who imagine that they attack me because I call them out for what they are, are confusing cause and effect.

This is because you have gone further than anyone else in your allegations of paedophilia.

– Ian Parker

.