

Re: Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama Discuss Space Policy

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2008-02/msg00685.html>

- *From:* Fred J. McCall <fmccall@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 24 Feb 2008 15:21:23 -0700
-

Ian Parker <ianparker2@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:

:On 24 Feb, 12:15, Fred J. McCall <fmcc...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
:> Ian Parker <ianpark...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
:>
:> :On 23 Feb, 23:00, Fred J. McCall <fmcc...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
:> :> Ian Parker <ianpark...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
:> :>
:> :> :On 22 Feb, 14:34, Fred J. McCall <fmcc...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:
:> :> :> Ian Parker <ianpark...@xxxxxxxxx> wrote:
:> :> :>
:> :> :> :On 17 Feb, 18:48, simberg.interglo...@xxxxxxxxx (Rand Simberg) wrote:
:> :> :> :>
:> :> :> :> Yes, but I don't recall him ever making up things about what you
:> :> :> :> wrote, or believe. For some reason, I seem to attract personal
:> :> :> :> attacks from the moron brigade (Chomko and Parker, among others).
:> :> :> :>
:> :> :> :> And I should note preemptively for people who imagine that they attack
:> :> :> :> me because I call them out for what they are, are confusing cause and
:> :> :> :> effect.
:> :> :> :>
:> :> :> :> :This is because you have gone further than anyone else in your
:> :> :> :> :allegations of paedophila.
:> :> :> :> :
:> :> :> :> :
:> :> :> :> : Ian, you're insane. Nobody made any such allegations. The fact that
:> :> :> :> : you obsess and claim they have when they have not makes it look like
:> :> :> :> : there is substance there.
:> :> :> :> :
:> :> :> :> :
:> :> :> :> : :These have been fully documented if you would only click on them.
:> :> :> :> :
:> :> :> :> :
:> :> :> :> : No they haven't. Besides, I've been here right along. I know what
:> :> :> :> : the reality was and it was nothing like you claim at all.
:> :> :> :> :
:> :> :> :> :
:> :> :> :> :

Re: Hillary Clinton and Barack Obama Discuss Space Policy

>> :No comment has been made on my other point, that of research claimed
>> :which I doubt has been done.

>> :

>> :

>> :When did you EVER have a point, Ian?

>> :

>> :--

>> : "Some people get lost in thought because it's such unfamiliar
>> : territory."

>> : --G. Behn

>> :

>> :Well here is the thread and the message.

>> :

>> : http://groups.google.co.uk/group/sci.space.policy/browse_frm/thread/c...

>> :He appears to have done it again to someone else.

>> :

>> : http://groups.google.co.uk/group/alt.politics.bush/browse_frm/thread/...

>> :Message 342.

>> :

>> :I must say that when I first read the message I did not realize that

>> :Rand had a track record. Had I, I would have come to different

>> :conclusions.

>> :

>> :

>> :As has been repeatedly explained to you, what Rand did was pose the
>> :same sort of "have you stopped beating your wife yet" complex question
>> :that you so love to use. It was an attempt to point out to you the
>> :fallacious tactics that you were engaging in.

>> :

>> :You are obviously too thick to figure that out, even when it's

>> :explained to you.

>> :

>> :

>> :

>> :<snip loonytoon stuff that never appeared in this newsgroup>

>> :

>> :

>> :No it isn't. Have you stopped beating your wife was designed to show
>> :the fallacy of one word answers. This can be done by information
>> :theory anyway. If you have a piece of information that requires more
>> :than one bit it cannot be compressed into a bit. Rand has never been
>> :restricted to bits. He can write "Primavera", he does not even have to
>> :guess the meaning of "spring"! But this is not a question about
>> :compression.

>> :

As I said above, you are obviously too thick to figure that out, even
when it's explained to you.

The loon spew above more than ever convinces me you're merely an
experimental Artificial Stupidity System (A.S.S).

—

"Ordinarily he is insane. But he has lucid moments when he is only stupid."

— Heinrich Heine

.