

Re: space travel or war

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2008-03/msg00371.html>

- *From:* American <samuelransom@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 20 Mar 2008 12:55:51 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Mar 20, 9:57 am, Willie.Moo...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

In a high-technology age with nuclear weapons and worse, what would you have the state do to protect its people from possible attack?

It is the today the federal government that wants to capitalize on the fears of its citizens by permitting the grandstanding of our supposed "upper hand" that would propel the fastest growth in the defense industry, while marginalizing the freedom that promise markets WOULD HAVE offered, if it were not for the "dumbing down" effect that war material serves to employ.

Everyone accepted that the United States had to give up some liberties in order to not only survive, but to prosper in this sort of environment.

Right, which was just the nuisance that the military industrial complex would have liked, because it would keep our military strong, in the sense of there being a world based military police, which became also in line with, and not inadvertently, the NWO. Yes, it is the NWO that Americans are sacrificing our liberties to. Americans have to decide where the "dumbing down" takes place in this conflict, because, after all, this conflict is not the conflict that will end ALL conflicts, but a much-less-than-world-encompassing one, where oil-takes-all, and not the earth-paradise-goes-orbital.

To its credit the government of the United States for the most part avoided ideological excesses and advanced the cause of freedom and liberty in the least restrictive environment possible for its people. To its discredit it has failed to adopt a fair and balanced process approach to common mode failures or install a process to promote social innovation and evolve fundamental social advance. This was not for lack of trying or the courage of those in charge however, this was due primarily to the difficulty of the problem.

Re: space travel or war

The key words here are "to ITS credit". To WHO's CREDIT? To the GOVERNMENT's CREDIT? Uh, and where did the "advance the cause of freedom REALLY come from? Was it not the individual's to begin with, or have you somehow lost track of where the "by the people, of the people, and for the people" really came from? (Hint: Most of the information in Lincoln's day, PRIOR to the civil war, was either delivered by horseback mail, or in the DUMBED DOWN SCENARIO, WAS SENT BY TELEGRAPH). Telegraph was mostly for lazy people that couldn't plan ahead and put pen to paper because writing reminded them too much of their third grade education. Sure, train departures and/or arrivals were wired ahead, in order to inform passengers of waiting times, but I'm sure that the novelty of waiting at the station will forever make the potential customers wonder where all the time went while waiting – Most of the escape–from–greedy–city Americans were just beginning to witness the marginalization of the business cycle at the expense of wealthy industrialists on the heels of the early middle class.

Your rant on the other hand is not even motivated by ideology, but rather, personal mental imbalance – a hairs breadth removed from paranoid schizophrenia.

Hardly. The truth is, in wholistic time, I'm a whole lot more successful than you, but I don't care to reveal how that success permeates from the supernatural into the natural. You, on the other hand, NEED the eyes of others to tell you the definition of what success "is". That, IMO, is not enough of a transferable skill set of knowledge, skills, and abilities, that becomes destined to improve the world in which we live. THAT is only what others in their ivory towers, whether they be in government service, or in the educational institutions, have given you in their definition of your supposed "credit" to their system of government. I'm from the old school of thought – the 2000 year old school called Christianity – so I've got literally CENTURIES of faithful inheritance in the promises of YHWH on my side. Who might be backing you up? Another group of humanists?

That is, you promote political views neatly explaining your abject lack of achievement in life by putting the blame on the the powers that be and removing them from you. Your personal explanations of your failure or success is not the proper function of political science however.

Let's make a correction here with your statement. The "powers that be", IMO, have separated themselves from the world of what some intelligent and faithful others in this lost world believe to be most helpful to the right people at the right time, and this information is only for those who are able to interpret the mystery of the Gospel being fulfilled in the time–frame that it was intended to be fulfilled

Re: space travel or war

Re: space travel or war

in.

The failure of humanity generally is however. While these two processes may be connected in some way, the material you provided is clearly the result of a deranged mind seeking explanations for his personal failures, and seeking comfort from others seeking similar explanations for themselves rather than doing the hard work of building a wonderful life for yourself with whatever opportunities life gives you.

I refuse to accept the world view of what you think is successful, but I will tell you that the definition of "success" is by no means universal, especially for those that seek comfort in the things of this world that are advertised, marketed, and delivered to people, with the expectation that they will somehow "escape" the especially visceral environment of the marketplace, that gets perpetrated on taxpayers as a whole, when the Federal government writes their own "blank check" (in Caesar's name), and at the same time is able to provide a service to no one.

What would you have the government do? realistically? Spouting some unattainable ideal of liberty doesn't cut it. Whining about supposed privileges isn't a solution – its a sop to your emotional state – nothing more.

Government SHOULD BE the guardian of free market enterprise, NOT the limiter of revolutionary technology that, in their supposed police state of affairs, would "undercut" the very foundation of our energy industry with another greedy, divisive, republican PAC oriented "promise market". The Republicans, as well as the Democrats, are no longer to be trusted. The problem is now the national debt, at the expense of the Federal government, that warrants their behavior to be somewhat questionable, if not outright damnable. The whole problem is, why isn't Rome burning at the top, instead of the bottom? (It's THEIR economy, afterall)

Wake up and smell the coffee guy.

You're the one that's sleeping here, not me.

My commentary is directed at something else. I accept the situation post-war America found itself in. I merely point out that management of the Grand Arena – as Kennan called it – provided short term gains and long term liabilities. Those gains have been paid out, and the

Re: space travel or war

Re: space travel or war

liabilities are coming due. What should we do now?

That's what should "WE", meaning the Federal government, who is the great borrower of all time, do now, not the non-Federal government. There happens to be only ONE college (that I know of) in the United States that DOES NOT ACCEPT any Federal loan or grant money from its prospective students. If that college were to accept grant money, then the course material in that college WOULD BECOME SUBJECT to the STATE ACCREDITATION BOARD. Since there are no students attending the school that accept government grants, then the school is outside of the jurisdiction of the Federal government, and all intellectual works are in the realm of public property. In the same regard, any intellectual work, whether it be in the realm of engineering or quantum physics, if it is created within Private Property, is able to claim Sovereignty in the State in which it was created. There are literally hundreds, if not thousands of these "idea factories" percolating all over the area of the United States, and the U.S. military has absolutely no jurisdiction over them. Like I said, the problem is yours, not mine.

I do admit I spoke to some extent what America might have done, merely to point out where we failed, and point out what we might do today to set things aright. I do not question the loyalty or veracity of those it fell to to do the difficult job of charting our course through the cold war.

Again you use the word "WE" in the expression "where WE FAILED". Sorry to say that your "WE" is not "US" if it was a completely different establishment that was framing the intent of "WHO" the debtor was supposed to be.

Even so, had we maintained our manufacturing and farming base, and addressed the wage disparity as technology advanced and permitted that, rather than using wage disparity among those economic sectors to structure the Grand Arena in the first place,

Again, "WE"

had we advanced into the interplanetary frontier and used our advanced technology to gain special access to vast new regions of raw materials, energy, and information –

Again, "WE"

Re: space travel or war

Re: space travel or war

had we used this unique resource to maintain peace and prosperity in the nuclear age,

Again, "WE"

had we accepted and promoted the religious insights of our explorers to organize the world politics and religion for a post–nuclear space age, rather than sweep it under the rug and try to forget it –

Again, "WE"

we would be in a far better position today as a nation, and as a world.

Again, "WE"

We didn't do these visionary things in part BECAUSE they were visionary – expected the men and women of the 20th century to freely embrace 21st century ideals and solutions does not require they be evil or motivated by bad intent – they didn't do these things because they were hard to see and understand in the context of the 20th century.

Again, "WE"

Yet today, we can see that the war on terror is easily and decisively won – we first acknowledge as a nation that 4% of the world's population cannot continually consume 30% or more of the world's resources and commit ourselves to using our position to increasing the availability of resources, and using our national capabilities to maintain an environment of peace prosperity and growth for all. .

Again, "WE"

We also acknowledge that there are world's beyond our world, and commit ourselves to developing the resources off–world and under our oceans to meet our needs and the needs of everyone, and in this way, maintain and increase our living standard while rapidly raising all living standards of all using these new resources.

Re: space travel or war

Re: space travel or war

Again, "WE"

Finally, we point out that God made all of us, and the universe – including the universe beyond Earth – and commit ourselves to honor all things God made – by creating an environment that is least restrictive to all men and women, and least destructive to the natural environment wherever it is found, and provides the greatest opportunity for all without denying anyone life liberty or the pursuit of happiness.

Again, "WE"

Then we go about doing the practical things needed to make good on these visionary statements.

Again, "WE"

1) develop low-cost terrestrial solar power to generate 3.34 billion tons of hydrogen from 30 billion tons of water each year to replace the 28.3 billion barrels of oil, 5.5 billion tons of coal, and 1.1 billion tons of natural gas we burn each year, avoiding the generation of 40 billion tons of carbon. We become an exporter of hydrogen fuels

Not without YOUR government, of course. However, I can see how the thread has worked its way into the mindset that would seem to work best for those who would be interested in the infrastructure for a non-polluting market of infinitely renewable resource of energy, which would not only create a healthy job market, but release the U.S. from its dependence on foreign oil, which IMO sounds quite promising.

2) develop a global wireless internet to provide 50 billion wireless broadband channels throughout the world available at pricing all can afford. We become an exporter of information services

I am in agreement here.

3) develop high-end low-cost tele-robotic systems using these two assets and build manufacturing centers in the United States, employing low-wage labor throughout the world telerobotically. We become an exporter of jobs, while simultaneously exporting the products those jobs make.

Re: space travel or war

Re: space travel or war

I hope that by "telerobotics" you don't mean the "skin of electrodes" that I was referring to in the mining of precious metals on asteroids. (They're not, IMO, "low wage" jobs). You are probably referring to the construction of orbital facilities tele-robotically, if I'm reading it right. – That would be quite a massive operation that would require some real strategic maneuvering of pre-packaged orbital assemblies.

4) develop low-cost very large reusable launch vehicles and launch a network of solar power satellites that use free electron lasers to beam energy to terrestrial solar panels (1) to expand their utility and increase their value – increasing the amount of energy available to humanity – rapidly increasing income levels (and exports from the US based manufacturing – employing foreign labor telerobotically)

Is this supposed to work through cloud cover? What would the gear ratios have to be for a group of laser(s) that are set to focus on such a small area as, say, a football field? (I'm guessing that the pointers in this type of laser are accurate down to some fraction of a second in a GPS type locating device, so it might also offer a "Star Wars" type technology at the fraction of the cost.

5) develop pilotless, personal VTOL ballistic aircraft using propulsive skin technology energized by space lasers – these aircraft range in size from letter carriers to winnebagos. They seamlessly and easily transport products and people ballistically from point A to point B at very low cost, with zero pollution anywhere on the Earth

Would this be along the design of a resonance pulsed ionic "skin" drive designed by the infamous Tesla? (With the exception, of course, that the power supply becomes external, rather than internal). Yeah, you're real good on who would have to be "licensed" in order to assume "control" over a market like this. Do you really think that your "Moses", whoever that might be, would want to follow the lead of this very impersonal "space machine" into orbit? I really don't think that any future earth-to-orbit propulsion system will end up being THAT controlled, given the reverse-engineered FTL vehicles that are now in existence (See A.51)

6) develop low-cost manufactured housing powered from space, communicating with space based assets, providing work at US factories telerobotically, and receiving food and products and information generated in America.

I'm impressed with the potential that this idea offers. It sounds like an energy-programmed environment headquartered in orbit, and out-of-

Re: space travel or war

Re: space travel or war

reach of things like terrorists and hazardous environmental conditions. With organizations like HAARP, sounds like we've got the perfect opportunity to turn those barren desert wastelands of places like Death Valley and Saudi Arabia into the lush gardens that we've wanted them to be for so long. Perhaps by changing the climate in these areas, and providing the wireless infrastructure and space-based energy grid to the most remote places on earth, we will have discovered a newer version humanity living within ourselves.

7) use advanced solar laser space propulsion to capture rich asteroids and bring them to Earth orbit. Use telerobotic systems to build solar powered telerobotic mines farms and factories to provide food, fiber, clothes, housing, at very high standards for all the Earth.

Yes, I've been interested in this kind of science for quite a long time now, and continue to wonder why there isn't a more open mindset for those things that would help to stimulate a greater promise market potential for keeping whatever precious metal resources that became "discovered" as an investment opportunity. Orbital or extraterrestrial-wise, in creating the next new gold rush, this new breed of "miner" would make orbital technology look like the days of Tomorrowland have come home to roost.

This is how we successfully manage the post-nuclear age for growth and general prosperity.

I'm in almost total agreement, but take exception to the Federal government getting involved, since they've got a bad enough reputation "forcing their agenda" with the energy markets worldwide, and thereby inhibiting the populace at large, as if WE weren't smart enough to think out solutions for ourselves, albeit Brown & Root and Halliburton assume that they've already done it for us. There's a technological crossroads here that needs to be explored. Either engineers start designing transportation alternatives to the over-priced highway-and-gasoline-powered-automobile syndrome, that somehow ALWAYS seem to include earmarks that become attached to some important piece of legislation, ending up in the glut of pork-barrel projects that have become the lobbyist's bribe instead of the scientific citizen's most intelligent representation.

The repercussions of these negative-law makers are accepted as "normal business protocol" rather than criminal activity. This is the Mandarin bureaucrat's "big tax pie" that gets stolen from the civilian, at the expense of more "dumbed down" projects, instead of using the capital for revolutionizing the technology that gets us to and from the workplace. If personal transportation can't stop using ground-driven vehicles by the next thirty years, humans will not only injure our

Re: space travel or war

Re: space travel or war

biosphere irreparably, but will waste away their potential as a space-faring nation, and be unable to escape, given some global, catastrophic event.

American

.