

## Re: Space travel not war

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2008-03/msg00455.html>

---

- *From:* BradGuth <[bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bradguth@xxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 25 Mar 2008 10:21:36 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Mar 25, 5:12 am, Quadibloc <[jsav...@xxxxxxxxxx](mailto:jsav...@xxxxxxxxxx)> wrote:

On Mar 24, 3:35 am, Willie.Moo...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

Not well understood is that the banking and trading systems set up as extensions to the Marshall Plan were designed to enforce a trading regime that was permanently favorable to the United States. It has been known since the beginning that this advantage would be temporary. George Kennan and others who authored these plans to maintain peace in the nuclear age that they would one day fail. So, alternatives would have to be developed. These alternatives include 'direct military action to maintain US superiority' or 'alternatives to the present control regime'.

Unfortunately the United States cannot maintain a disparity of income through trade if it is at war with those it is trading with – so this direct military action angle wasn't well thought out. Also unfortunate, is there appears that no alternative to the present control regime has been seriously considered or pursued.

The obvious thing for the U.S. to do is to admit that wide-open international trade leaves American jobs vulnerable to cheap imports, and so dump the WTO and go back to the days when countries set their own tariffs, and only let in the imports that they decide they can afford once they total up what sales they can make in exports.

After all, we can't force other countries to buy our goods, and the only other way to limit how much we import would be to contract the economy, throwing people out of work. This is what we're doing now, and that alternative should be the one that's unthinkable.

John Savard

I agree that each nation has to first look after its own before trying

Re: Space travel not war

to influence and/or benefit via others, by way of foremost setting a good example that others would want to follow without having another gun put to their head, of food taken from their lips, as well as housing, education and medical care made unobtainable by those of us that want to either directly benefit from or having control over every scrap of fossil and nuclear energy, or else it's another war (hot or cold) to be fought.

It seems entirely obvious that we can force global inflation if need be of nearly 100%/year upon others by way of our global energy and banking cartels, as well as by having perpetrated the likes of the cold-war, 911 and of the totally bogus war in Iraq, with Iran as our failsafe plan of action backup-war for continued profit takings at the ongoing trauma and demise of others that we obviously don't give a tinkers damn about.

Obviously you and others of your wussy kind go 100% along with whatever our resident LLPOF warlord(GW Bush) and of his brown-nosed army of clown minions decide is best, and to think that Hitler would not have had it any other way.

Can you specify and/or demonstrate your actions otherwise? (we all know that Willie.Moo can't)

.. – Brad Guth

.