

Re: sci space policy targeted by disinformation experts?

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2008-03/msg00459.html>

- *From:* "Martha Adams" <mhada@xxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 26 Mar 2008 00:36:01 GMT
-

"Ian Parker" <ianparker2@xxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:04b8d2ad-eaec-45a3-aa9b-ec2848cdb0fc@xx
On 24 Mar, 08:17, Willie.Moo...@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

The long-standing policy of the United States with respect to control of missile proliferation (several missile proliferation treaties from 1940s onward)

along with this nation's long-standing policy of the United States with respect to discrediting public enthusiasm for space travel (several executive directives of Eisenhower following Sputnik, and one from Nixon, and one from Johnson)

and debunking of UFO reports (since the UFO flap of 1953 and Project Blue Book)

have caused me to wonder whether or not these news groups are the subject of negative attention from disinformation experts

the free and open format of discussion in these groups reminds me very much of the Speaker's Corner at Hyde Park in London.

Back in the day, it was not politic for the King to have a person arrested and put in irons for what he might say at Speaker's Corner. Anyone had a right to stand up and say whatever the hell they wanted unopposed. Was the King's negative attention thwarted by the custom of Speaker's Corner?

Not in the least.

That's because the King could hire losers to stand around and do a number of things that would by their very nature, discredit and demoralize any speaker. This could range from something as simple as shouting obscenities or placing offensive and aggressive advertisers in the crowd, or personally threatening the speaker all acts unopposed by the local constabulary. Responses could be as sophisticated as engaging the speaker in detailed argument, based on what was being said

Re: sci space policy targeted by disinformation experts?

and creating debates over highly technical issues that in the end portray a speaker as foolish. Or have other speakers all in the hire of the King always nearby that 'bird-dog' the targeted speaker item for item. .

So, as I see this as a 21st Century Speaker's Corner, I see too the possibility of the same control paradigms being used to thwart, derail, diminish, and otherwise control possible avenues where missile information may be transmitted, and where public enthusia