

Re: Re Willie Mookie and the crap posters

Source: <http://sci.tech--archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2008-06/msg00203.html>

- *From:* Ian Parker <ianparker2@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 16 Jun 2008 11:43:52 -0700 (PDT)
-

On 16 Jun, 17:00, charliexmur...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Jun 16, 9:15 am, Ian Parker <ianpark...@xxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

You don't read do you. My contention is that the training is designed to brutalise. It is designed to produce people who will go to Iraq as "Beni al kalb". It also produces people who find it difficult to fit into civilian society. What I am saying is this. :- "If you are beaten up, and if the training your partner has received is a material factor, as I believe it is, you have a legal case against the Pentagon.

yes, I do, but not the crap, untrue stuff you read. Your contention is wrong. your view of the world is warped by the little knothole that you look out of.

There is no such training as you refer to. There is no widespread spouse abuse as you say, much less due to training received.

There jolly well is. It is well attested by epidemiological studies. There are references to it. Getting a bit near home eh!

We see this syndrome all the time. The basic point for this group is that no one is interested in truth. There was a posting way back when I taked about the accuracy of measurment on earth crossing asteroids.

Nobody replied to that point. Rand replied to points about the US Anthrax attacks, attacks which are well attested.

This underlnes what I have been saying all the time.

Another poster – Wallace Wright. He made one or two remarks on AI. I thought here at least is a regard for the truth. But no, he clearly did not understand what he had written. All he posted for was to get

Re: Re Willie Mookie and the crap posters

at me. He admitted when I asked him about publications that he was writing under a pseudonym. Really what can you say.

Wallace Wright was by far and away the most serious as it makes people far more cautious and hampers the free exchange of ideas. This is all what I mean.

Why single out Brad and kT. OK perhaps you have half a point with Brad but kT only started posting after the sustained slurring of myself.

– Ian Parker

– Ian Parker

.