

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

---

*Source:* <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2008-08/msg00078.html>

---

- *From:* [Willie.Mookie@xxxxxxxx](mailto:Willie.Mookie@xxxxxxxx)
  - *Date:* Mon, 4 Aug 2008 20:36:45 -0700 (PDT)
- 

On Aug 4, 3:56 pm, Eric Chomko <pne.cho...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Aug 2, 11:29 am, Willie.Moo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:

On Jul 28, 11:13 am, Eric Chomko <pne.cho...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Jul 19, 11:53 pm, Willie.Moo...@xxxxxxxx wrote:  
[...]

Kennedy, who came to office on the promise of doing something to put America ahead – was embarrassed – and wanted to make good on his promises. He had great suspicion of the military – following the failed Bay of Pigs invasion.

No, no! He wanted the BOP to be a pure covert invasion. Not overt, no military. Intel only and their trainees.

The 1961 Bay of Pigs Invasion (aka Playa Girón), was an unsuccessful attempted invasion in south-west Cuba by armed Cuban exiles, planned and funded by the United States, in an attempt to overthrow the Cuban government of Fidel Castro, shortly after John F. Kennedy assumed the presidency in the US. This action accelerated a rapid deterioration in Cuban-American relations, which was further worsened by the Cuban Missile Crisis the following year.

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

The invasion is named after the Bay of Pigs, inaccurately translated from the Spanish Bahía de Cochinos, the landing having taken place at the beach named Playa Giron on 17 April 1961, and resulted in a victory for the Cuban government forces several days later.

On March 17, 1960, the US administration of President Dwight D. Eisenhower agreed to a recommendation from the CIA Central Intelligence Agency to equip and drill Cuban exiles for action against the new Cuban government of Fidel Castro. Eisenhower stated it was the policy of the US government to aid anti-Castro guerrilla forces.

The CIA was initially confident it was capable of overthrowing the Cuban government, having experience assisting in the overthrow of other foreign governments such as the government of Iranian Prime Minister Mohammed Mossadegh in 1953 and Guatemalan President Jacobo Arbenz Guzmán in 1954.

Everything so far you wrote I agree with.

The original plan called for landing invasion ground forces in the vicinity of the old colonial city of Trinidad, Cuba, in the central province of Sancti Spiritus approximately 400 km (250 miles) south-east of Havana, at the foothills of the Escambray Mountains. The Trinidad site provided several options that the ground forces could exploit during the invasion.

The CIA began to recruit and train anti-Castro forces in the Sierra Madre on the Pacific coast of Guatemala. They were self-named Brigade 2506 (Brigada Asalto 2506), and the overall plan was code-named Operation Zapata (aka Operation Pluto) by the CIA. CIA Director Allen Dulles appointed Richard Mervin Bissell, Jr., one of his three aides, as director of Operation Zapata.

Didn't George H.W. Bush own an oil company named Zapata Oil at the time?

Zapata Corporation (NYSE: ZAP) is a holding company based in Rochester, New York and originating from an oil company started by a group including the former United States president George H. W. Bush.

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Various writers have found links between the company and the United States Central Intelligence Agency

Zapata Off–Shore in the late 1950s and early 1960s concentrated its business in the Caribbean, the Gulf of Mexico, and the Central American coast.[5] The US government began to auction off mineral rights to these areas in 1954. Drilling contracts in 1958 with the seven large US oil producers included wells 40 miles north of Isabela, Cuba, near the island Cay Sal. Fidel Castro overthrew Cuba's Batista government in July 1959.

Zapata also won a contract with Kuwait. Bush was joined in Zapata by a fellow Yale Skull and Bones member, Robert Gow, in 1962. Zapata Off–Shore had four oil–drilling rigs operational by 1963: Scorpion (1956), Vinegaroon (1957), Sidewinder, and (in the Persian Gulf) Nola III.

By 1964, Zapata Off–Shore had a number of subsidiaries, including: Seacat–Zapata Offshore Company (Persian Gulf), Zapata de Mexico, Zapata International Corporation, Zapata Mining Corporation, Zavala Oil Company, Zapata Overseas Corporation, and a 41% share of Amata Gas Corporation.

In 1960, Jorge Diaz Serrano of Mexico was put in touch with Bush by Dresser. They created a new company, Perforaciones Marinas del Golfo, aka Permargo, in conjunction with Edwin Pauley of Pan American Petroleum, with whom Zapata had a previous offshore contract. The deal with Pemargo is not mentioned in Zapata's annual reports. A Bush spokesman in 1988 claimed the deal only lasted seven months, from March to September 1960. Zapata sold Nola I to Pemargo in 1964.

Bush ran for the US Senate in 1964 and lost; he continued as president of Zapata Off–Shore until 1966, when he sold his interest to his business partner, Robert Gow, and ran for the US Congress. William Stamps Farish III, age 28, joined the board in 1966.

Zapata's filing records with the US Securities and Exchange Commission are intact for the years 1955–1959, and again from 1967 onwards. However, records for the years 1960–1966 are missing. The commission's records officer stated that the records were inadvertently placed in a session file to be destroyed by a federal warehouse and that a total of 1,000 boxes were pulped in this procedure. The destruction of records occurred either in October 1983 (according to the records officer) or in 1981 shortly after Bush became Vice President of the United States (according to a record analyst with the commission, Wison Carpenter).

Some records of those years have survived in other offices however;

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Bush\\_Sr\\_tip\\_on\\_JFK\\_1963.jpg](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Bush_Sr_tip_on_JFK_1963.jpg)  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Bush\\_Sr%2C\\_JFK\\_-\\_J\\_Edgar\\_Hoover\\_memo\\_2.jpg](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Bush_Sr%2C_JFK_-_J_Edgar_Hoover_memo_2.jpg)

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Wasn't Nixon involved with the original Cuba invasion plans while being VP under Ike?

Vice President Richard Nixon was devoted to the idea of opposing Castro as early as April 1959, when Castro visited the U.S. as a guest of the American Society of Newspaper Editors. Nixon met with Castro on 19 April 1959. In a secret memorandum to Eisenhower following the meeting, "If he's not a communist," said Nixon, "he certainly acts like one."

On March 17 1960, President Eisenhower approved a CIA plan titled "A Program of Covert Action against the Castro Regime."

The plan included:

- 1) the creation of a responsible and unified Cuban opposition to the Castro regime located outside of Cuba,
- 2) the development of a means for mass communication to the Cuban people as part of a powerful propaganda offensive,
- 3) the creation and development of a covert intelligence and action organization within Cuba which would respond to the orders and directions of the exile opposition, and
- 4) the development of a paramilitary force outside of Cuba for future guerrilla action.

These goals were to be achieved "in such a manner as to avoid the appearance of U.S. intervention."

Official diplomatic relations were broken on January 1961, nine months after the plan was approved.

Throughout 1960, the growing ranks of Brigade 2506 trained throughout southern Florida and at a CIA-run training base code-named JMTrax near Retalhuleu in Guatemala for the beach landing and possible mountain retreat. In summer 1960, an airfield (code-named JMMadd, aka Rayo Base) was constructed near Retalhuleu, Guatemala to allow CIA-operated Douglas C-54 transports to deliver people, supplies and arms from Florida at night. Curtiss C-46s were also used for transport between Retalhuleu and the CIA base code-named JMTide (aka Happy Valley), at Puerto Cabezas, Nicaragua. Gunnery and flight training of Brigade 2506 air crews was carried out by personnel from Alabama ANG (Air National Guard), using at least six Douglas B-26 Invaders in the markings of FAG (Fuerza Aérea Guatemalteca), legitimate delivery of those to the FAG being delayed by about 6 months. A further 26 B-26s were obtained from US military stocks, 'sanitized' to obscure their origins, and

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

about twenty of them were converted for offensive operations by deletion of defensive armament, standardization of the Eight-gun nose, addition of underwing drop tanks, rocket racks, etc.

According to Col. L. Fletcher Prouty (author and former CIA/DOD liason at the time), we supposedly neglected to take out all of Castro's Air Force planes in the area for some unknown reason.

Clearly, the CIA has the capacity if it wishes to ensnare and discredit a President.

On February 17, 1961, President Kennedy asked his advisors whether the toppling of Fidel Castro might be related to weapon shipments and if it was possible to claim the real targets were modern fighter aircraft and rockets that endangered America's security. At the time, Cuba's army possessed Soviet tanks, artillery and small arms, and its air force consisted of Douglas B-26 Invader light bombers, Hawker Sea Furies and Lockheed T-33 jets, all remaining from the Fuerza Aerea del Ejercito de Cuba (FAEC), the Cuban air force of the Batista regime.[8]

I believe Prouty referred to the T-33 as not being completely wiped out.

We also could have waited until their inability to get spare parts and service done on the planes would render most of them useless in about 18 months.

As Kennedy's plans evolved, critical details were changed, including a change of landing area for Brigade 2506 to two points in Matanzas Province, 202 km south-east of Havana on the eastern edge of the Zapata peninsula at the Bay of Pigs. The landings would take place on the Girón and Zapatos Larga beaches (code-named Blue Beach and Red Beach, respectively). This change effectively cut off contact with the rebels of the "War Against the Bandits" uprising in the Escambray Mountains.

On 9 April 1961, Brigade 2506 personnel, ships and aircraft started transferring from Guatemala to Puerto Cabezas, Nicaragua

When plans went arwy with the covert operation the CIA thought they

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

could coerce JFK into making it an overt operation. JFK did not do it and the BOP turned out to be a debacle.

JFK did exactly what Eisenhower was planning to do – the CIA misled the new President by claiming they had support within Cuba and that the exiles would be greeted as liberators (sound familiar)

I don't doubt the overstated "intelligence".

Of the Brigade 2506 aircraft that were prepared on the morning of 15 April 1961, one was tasked with establishing the CIA cover story for the invasion. The Douglas B-26B Invader used for this mission carried false FAR markings and serial number 933, and was piloted by Mario Zuniga. Prior to departure, the engine cowling from one of the aircraft's two engines was removed by maintenance personnel, fired upon, then re-installed to give the appearance that the aircraft had taken ground fire at some point during its flight. Zuniga departed from the CIA-run base at Puerto Cabezas in Nicaragua on a solo, low-flying mission that took him over Pinar del Río, the westernmost province of Cuba, and then north-east toward Key West, Florida. Once across the island, Zuniga climbed steeply away from the waves of the Florida Straits to an altitude where he would be detected by US radar installations to the north of Cuba. At altitude and a safe distance north of the island, Zuniga feathered the engine with the pre-installed bullet holes in the engine cowling, radioed a mayday call and requested immediate permission to land at Miami International airport.

I hadn't heard this before.

Mario Zuniga, masquerading as "Juan Garcia", publicly claimed that three colleagues had also defected from the FAR. That may have been part of the deception plan to allow offensive Brigade 2506 aircraft to divert safely to US airfields in the event of battle damage or other emergencies. Indeed, B-26 call-sign Puma Three (crew Fernandez-Mon and Perez) ditched fatally in the sea 30 miles north of Cuba, but its companion aircraft reached Boca Chica Naval Air Station at Key West, about an hour before Zuniga landed at Miami. The surviving un-named crew of two were reported as being granted political asylum on 16 April, as was Mario Zuniga, and that they were then onboard a bus bound for Miami. It seems unlikely that the aircraft or its crew could have returned to Puerto Cabezas in time for the 17 April operations, during which B-26s call-sign Puma One (crew Crespo and Perez-Lorenzo)

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet  
and Puma Two (crew Piedra and Fernandez) were shot down

The invasion of Cuba was being planned under Eisenhower, and it was something that Kennedy was convinced at the time not only needed to be done, but would be done cleanly and quickly – and we would be greeted as liberators. (sound familiar?) When the invasion was carried out – none of the profound assurances of the military or intelligence agencies were found to be true. Kennedy called Truman to the White House for a confab. They concluded that the CIA and Military were pro–republican – and were convinced that the Democrats were more closely allied with Communists than with Mainstream America – and so, they would ALWAYS propose programs that would make the Democrats look foolish.

I think you miss the point about military vs. intel in all this.

Nope, not at all. The CIA botched it – because they couldn't deliver the support within Cuba that they promised – just like they couldn't deliver the support within Iraq that they promised existed.

I don't doubt this.

After  
the BOP JFK wanted to give the military  
control of paramilitary operations

Nope.

Okay, now you force me to dig up the actual NSAM as proof. JFK's NSAM!

NSAM 55 –<http://www.jfklibrary.org/Historical+Resources/Archives/Reference+Des...>

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Read NSAM 56 and 57 as well.

Alright...

NSAM 55

<http://www.jfklibrary.org/Asset+Tree/Asset+Viewers/Image+Asset+Viewer.htm?guid={7AF8627F-97CD-463D-963D-33C76439-821A-4196-8118-00078419-93B4-466B-8793}>

NSAM 56

<http://www.jfklibrary.org/Asset+Tree/Asset+Viewers/Image+Asset+Viewer.htm?guid={33C76439-821A-4196-8118-00078419-93B4-466B-8793}>

NSAM 57

<http://www.jfklibrary.org/Asset+Tree/Asset+Viewers/Image+Asset+Viewer.htm?guid={00078419-93B4-466B-8793}>

Here is a nice write up describing NSAMs 55, 56, and 57:  
[http://groups.google.com/group/alt.conspiracy.jfk/browse\\_thread/threa...](http://groups.google.com/group/alt.conspiracy.jfk/browse_thread/thread/33c76439-821a-4196-8118-00078419-93b4-466b-8793)

That's bullshit. Here's a fair assessment of what happened, and btw, this includes photos of the Cuban refugees WITH President Kennedy in December 1962 – which puts to rest a lot of bullshit about them hating him and so forth

<http://www.jfklibrary.org/Historical+Resources/JFK+in+History/JFK+and+the+Bay+of+Pigs.htm>

What you fail to mention in your commentary is that these three NSAMs were written on June 28, 1961 – two months AFTER the invasion!! The invasion had already failed. What these NSAMs are about are Bundy, McNamara and Kennedy's efforts to make damned fucking sure the President, or any future President for that matter, wouldn't have his fucking dick hanging out after committing resources and people (even non-nationals as in this case!)

That is, look at the dates of the invasion – look at what happened – and look at the dates on the NSAMs – and what the President was saying.

In the intervening two months from April to June the President was given a lot of stories FROM the CIA and DOD explaining the 'failure' in Cuba – and in response to that the President with HIS advisors was laying down the law to both those groups of assholes – don't fuck with the President!

That's all this is. Any effort to paint it as anything more is ludicrous – any effort to say it had any impact on April's operations – is also ludicrous and impossible.

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

and make the CIA do what they were set up to do by Truman (i.e. be the central focal point of all intel and funnel that INFORMATION to the president on a daily basis). The whole

The CIA was help the USA avoid a nuclear pearl harbor in the nuclear age. To this end they kept nations bottled up in their own sphere of influence and kept them at each others throats and generally supressed free expression and democracy throughout the world – whenever that democracy threatened US hegemony.

Sure we are the good guys in white hats, with God on our side, and the Godless Russians and their KGB were the evil wearers of black hats. Gimme a break!

People actually believed that back then – many did.

There are elements within the CIA that view the Democratic party as part of the 'socialist threat'

I think Hoover's FBI did more to foster the red scare business and fuel McCarthyism than did the CIA.

McCarthy took advantage of public fears that grew ever more inflamed from 1948 to 1950. HUAC started with Whitaker Chambers' defection and 'outing' Alger Hiss – which some felt was an early CIA effort to derail the UN.

To anyone who lived through it the culpability of the Russians was obvious. The Commies had penetrated our unions, our newspapers and magazines, our universities, our political process, our most secret laboratories and had vowed to take over the world by any means necessary. The commies quickly countered our development of nuclear weapons with stolen information given to them by US sympathizers, and vowed to bury us while an Iron curtain fell on Europe and 1/2 of humanity fell to communists, even while they expanded their borders into Korea Afghanistan Central Europe while they brain washed our soldiers and carried out all sorts of dirty tricks against third world nations to get them to turn Red... that's the way it seemed back in the day. And that's what gave McCarthy his tremendous power in 1950 – which was quickly removed a few years later by a new liberal media – Television – and the election of JFK (which many within the CIA felt was a Communist mole on the order of Alger Hiss or Armand

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Hammer)

Check out the timeline –

1945 Defeat of Germany and Japan – with Russians as allies.

February 4–11: Yalta Conference meeting of FDR, Churchill, Stalin – the 'Big Three'

Soviet Union has control of Eastern Europe. The Cold War Begins

May 8: VE Day – Victory in Europe. Germany surrenders to the Red Army in Berlin

July: Potsdam Conference – Germany was officially partitioned into four zones of occupation.

August 6: The United States drops atomic bomb on Hiroshima (20 kiloton bomb 'Little Boy' kills 80,000)

August 8: Russia declares war on Japan

August 9: The United States drops atomic bomb on Nagasaki (22 kiloton 'Fat Man' kills 70,000)

August 14 : Japanese surrender End of World War II

August 15: Emperor surrender broadcast – VJ Day

---

1946

February 9: Stalin hostile speech – communism & capitalism were incompatible

March 5 : "Sinews of Peace" Iron Curtain Speech by Winston Churchill – "an "iron curtain" has descended on Europe"

March 10 – Truman demands Russia leave Iran

July 1: Operation Crossroads with Test Able was the first public demonstration of America's atomic arsenal

July 25: America's Test Baker – underwater explosion

---

1947 Containment

March 12 : Truman Doctrine – Truman declares active role in Greek Civil War







Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

November 3: Sputnik II launched – Laika died in space

---

1958

January 31: Explorer I launched

July : NASA began Mercury project using Atlas rocket

November : Khrushchev demands withdrawal of troops from Berlin

---

1959

January : Cuba taken over by Fidel Castro

^^

\*\*\*THIS SCARED THE SHIT OUT OF PEOPLE\*\*\*

September : Khrushchev visits United States; The Kitchen Debate

---

1960 A-bombs developed by France

May : Soviet Union reveals that U.S. spy plane was shot down over Soviet territory

November : John F. Kennedy elected President of USA

Also, the CIA didn't hire right-wingers exclusively. Just don't get caught looking too left wing...

The CIA hires all sorts of people –haha– Noriega and Saddam Hussein were on CIA payroll at some point. That has nothing to little to do with their goals and organizing principles.

– which Nixon exploited to get into trouble over Watergate –

Nixon called it when he said if Hoover were still alive this wouldn't be a problem for him. Hoover had a dossier on everyone who was important and could use it to bury anything. The trouble in the modern world is that people have become desensitized to so much. That is why some folks believe the CIA is behind the kidnapping of truant children and using them in sex slave trade – in order to maintain power over key people. I'm not saying this is true, but I am saying

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

it is consistent with goals and means of the agency.

<http://portland.indymedia.org/en/2004/02/280183.shtml>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jeff\\_Gannon](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jeff_Gannon)

Please note the parallels between Whitaker Chambers and Jeff Gannon

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Whitaker\\_Chambers](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Whitaker_Chambers)

Today the charge of 'child molester' has the same gravitas as 'communist' in the 1940s.

and since the fall of the Soviet Union – Bush 1 and Bush 2 have viewed the US Democratic party as a threat to the 'American Way of Life' – and have not troubled themselves to worry about using CIA info-war tactics against the American public to maintain control by the Republican party.

Clearly government agencies should not be too left or too right to be of any value in a balanced USA.

Actually judging things according to 'left-right' is being held captive by an ideological paradigm that causes people to ignore reality – at great cost to society – due to common mode failure. Consider the monument building of Easter Islanders leading to their collapse – or how easy it was for the Spanish to take over the Aztec culture following the assassination of their leaders – again due to common mode failure

"plans" division of the CIA was not set up under Truman and Ike allowed it to occur by turning a blind eye to it until it became obvious during the Church Committee investigation in 1974 that it had gotten out of hand during the previous 2 decades.

You are naive in the extreme – and are blind to the actual operations of the CIA – preferring only to read the handouts of the CIA itself as an explanation of its role in the world.

I beg your pardon? The actual operations of the CIA differ than that of Truman's vision of the Agency he signed into law.

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Yes, you quote a handout from the very agency itself and then claim you're not doing it? haha...

Much of the organizing documents, and the legal history of the agency and its other more secret counter-parts, is still secret – so you acting like you're speaking with authority – is ludicrous. Secret agencies exist to maintain secrets – I seriously doubt you have penetrated any critical secrets now held by the USA – and quoting declassified literature puts you at risk of having your belief system managed by those releases.

The only accurate way to view things is to look at the details of what actually happened and piece together some ideas based on patterns of activity. As I mentioned, the history of the Kennedy's is interesting for this reason – suggesting the agency thought of Joe Kennedy – the father – the same way it thought of Alger Hiss following his outing by Whitaker Chambers – Joe Jr. died, Jack was assassinated in his first term, Robert was assassinated after he won the California primary, Ted was discredited the day before the first moon landing which his brother initiated.

Do you think that I support the actual operations more than Truman's vision of it? Please be clear.

You are reading public documents about the operation of a secret agency and taking it at face value is ludicrous.

So, this suggests why Kennedy didn't really believe his intelligence and military advisors following the Bay of Pigs. He dismissed the analysis of his military and intelligence advisors, and the advise of Eisenhower – after that – on this subject and charted his own course.

I forget what NSAM (National Security Action Memo) it was following the BOP, but in it JFK attempted to strip the CIA of its plans division and provide the military with the power to do more covert operations.

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Nope, Kennedy actually followed CIA in every detail – the invasion force was covertly assembled not USAF or USArmy at all.

Read NSAMs 55,56 & 57 and tell what part of the "Joint Chiefs of Staff" mantra in that makes you think "DCI" comes to mind.

<http://www.latinamericanstudies.org/baypigs-airforce.htm>

I  
don't doubt that the military intel people shared that with  
their  
buddies in the CIA and that JFK's plan  
basically backfired and it cost him his life as a result.

JFK's death is a separate issue. It was a coup de'tat carried out by Johnson at the behest of his military industry buddies.

So when LBJ asked Hoover if anyone was shooting at him while he too was in Dealey Plaza on 11/22/63; it was just a good acting job to make him look vulnerable and less like a suspect? No having LBJ "know" would have never worked. No doubt the perps benefitted by an LBJ presidency and a coup does fit, but not by LBJ himself. He had no need to know.

I don't know anything about that.

Kennedy was too weak for their taste in handling the cuban missile crisis (he withdrew US missiles from Turkey) – he didn't want to support the French in Vietnam – he organized a Peace Corps to bring true democracy to the world – he ordered an indepth review of CIA practices and procedures just before his death – with the idea of curtailing the power of that agency –

Yes, yes and give it to the military!!!!

The military is given a difficult task in the modern world – and has basically undermined any ability for this nation to generate the political leadership that it needs. Common mode failure.

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

and wanted to rely more on the UN – he wanted to expand the space program and openly involve the Russians in a joint effort to explore and settle the solar system – he wanted to end racism in America and give equality to all – he wanted to end poverty – he wanted to expand the governments role in education – assuring college education for all – and in health care assuring adequate health care to all – and pensions – assuring adequate retirement for all – and he wanted to do all this in a way that would assure his continued and continuing popularity – and he planned to continue his efforts along these lines through his brother Robert and then Teddy, and then his son – if need be.

Yes, a reign of Kennedys forever. Snap out of it, man!

That was mentioned by the Col Saunders looking character at the end of the movie JFK. It was a worry among the McCarthy era red fear mongers – whether it was a real thing – is not known.

I believe that the CIA and others felt that the Kennedy family was directly supported by the Soviet Union – and JFK himself a mole planted by the Soviet Union in the USA – much the same as Armand Hammer operated as a spy for the Soviets

No proof of that directly, though he did have the East German girlfriend. You forgot to mention the mob and THAT girlfriend as well. Can't having JFK be the go between between the Kremlin and the Syndicate.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Armand\\_Hammer](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Armand_Hammer)

Don't forget the Gore connection to MC, err, Armand Hammer.

I don't know anything about that.

The death of Kennedy can be proven to be a coup de-tat not by careful analysis of the details of his death – as in the movie JFK – but rather – the fact that his brother Robert was killed when it was clear he would win the nomination in 1968 by another lone gunman.

Those too events, though similar, were different. Clearly Sirhan, who is still alive, looks a lot more guilty than does a dead Oswald.

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Sirhan was one of the famous subjects of MK-ULTRA experiments according to some.

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project\\_MKULTRA#Conspiracy\\_theories](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_MKULTRA#Conspiracy_theories)

On July 18, 1969 – one day before a manned landing on the moon –

2 days. Actually, it was one day, but you have the day wrong. Chappaquiddick was July 19, 1969 and Apollo 11 was July 20, 1969. Wiki states the Mary Jo Kopechne died on July 18th. I guess the incident spanned two days with the party being on July 18 and the investigation by the police being the next day, etc. Anyway, you're right the the timing and that of moon landing is wierd to say the least.

Especially given the Kopekne and the 6 others at the 'party' managed RFKs campaign. These folks clearly got together to organize to benefit from the PR bounce of the moon landing – and get ready for the '72 presidential campaign. The MK-ULTRA techniques were easily capable of exposing the Senator to drugs that would cause a complete memory lapse and loss of control. Again, Crimmins the chauffeur brought the Senator there, and when Kennedy asked the driver to take him and Kopekne away from the party, her to her hotel and he back home – the driver gave him the key – and he took it saying he didn't want to break up their comraderie? lol. Teddy is either lying or was drugged at that point – and Crimmins was working for the CIA or drugged himself – in a way very similar to the way LSD and other drugs were introduced to country clubs and so forth. I'm not saying I know this – I'm saying that the events that happened are consistent with this view of events – to discredit Teddy before the moon landing.

Teddy

Kennedy – attending a party in celebration with Mary Jo Kopecne – Mary Jo an aid to Robert Kennedy's failed bid at the presidency.– and witness to Robert's death just a year before – was found drowned at Chappiquidick at Martha's Vineyard.– in Teddy's car – Teddy claimed to have driven the car – after getting the keys from his Chauffer Crimmins. Which was weird, Teddy seldom drove himself.

The whole thing was weird and it costed Ted any hopes of winning the White House.

Yes – which would have been the point of discrediting him. If he had DIED in the accident along with Mary Jo, that would have caused too

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

much of an outrage – JFK – '63, RFK – '68, EMK – '69 .. no, he needed to be discredited – and the techniques developed by MK–ULTRA assured that.

Again, I find it interesting to the point of incredulity – that the day before the moon landing – with Nixon in the White House – that Chappiquidick happened to Teddy THAT DAY – Imagine the news headlines following the moon landing – had Chappiquidick not happened! HE likely would have beaten Nixon in 1972 – and won re-election in 1978 – and would have carried out much of the program of his brother – AND REVEALED THE COUP DE TAT – and;the CIA would have many more problems than the Church committee.

Hard to say for sure.

Had RFK gotten into office, depending on what the facts were obviously. But if there were a larger conspiracy like we're hypothesizing here – the CIA wouldn't have survived in its present form.

But, we cannot know for sure. That's the nature of secret operations – they're supposed to be that way! The only thing is to look for a repeating patterns that have consistent motivation. I jokingly said where was G. Gordon Liddy that day? lol.

Clearly LBJ's CIA was a lot more like Ike's CIA and both were much different than JFK's vision for his CIA.

Nonsense. The CIA helped orchestrate JFK's death at the behest of LBJ and other powerful interests following the suspicion that JFK was a Soviet mole.

Based upon your logic, JFK committed suicide and used the CIA as his method.

I didn't say that at all, and my 'logic' doesn't suggest that at all. I doubt that the President would have thought it possible for any agency under his control to challenge him let alone assassinate him.

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Unless of course you state that Ike's CIA orchestrated JFK's death and LBJ inherited it.

I think it may have been likely that if there were a larger conspiracy LBJ knew about the assassination plans well before they happened. He had to – to do otherwise would have introduced a weak link in their plans. He had to be on board or it wouldn't have gone forward –if it was more than a lone gunman. Why didn't he run again in '68? Why didn't he help Humphrey more? Why didn't he move heaven and Earth to investigate RFK's assassination very thoroughly?

In which case you state basically the same thing that I did above:

hmm.. No, the President does not control the CIA except nominally – the CIA has been given ultimate authority over the future of this nation – defacto power – regardless of what is written in the handouts we can see. Such power is hard to get back – harder to get back in a way that's beneficial to all concerned. As Ted Kennedy said, the CIA has a sacred trust – the problem is even the best efforts of the best people are subject to common mode failure – which occurs in complex systems even when all the details are done right.

LBJ's CIA was a lot more like Ike's CIA and both were much different than JFK's vision for his CIA.

This is where we disagree. LBJ does not control the CIA – LBJ serves as long as those elements that judge LBJ not to be a harm to its view of national security deem it so. The moment LBJ crosses the line – he's given ample warning – and he \*knows\* what's next – being party to the last assassination – if it wasn't a lone gunman.

The Manchurian Candidate was released in 1962 – which involved the assassination of a Presidential candidate who was brainwashed. hmm... do you think they were preparing the American people for the 1963 assassination of JFK? maybe.

Secret operations are hard to prove by their very nature. Yet the goals of secret agencies cannot be hidden – they cannot help BE what they are. So, one looks for patterns. JFK was killed by a lone gunman. Ok. But when RFK was killed when he ran for office the following term – that's a pattern. Then Teddy Kennedy is dishonored – THE VERY DAY BEFORE HE WAS TO EMERGE VICTORIOUS following the moon

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

landing his brother helped bring about? another pattern – and movies floating the ideas in fiction form that Presidents need to be assassinated if they're brainwashed? a year before a president gets assassinated?

Well, was JFK a Soviet mole or not?

I don't know – even if he wasn't that wouldn't stop some elements within the intelligence community to think so. I mean, our top scientists passed our most sacred secrets to the Soviets, our most trusted journalists and political leaders were Soviet moles, the Soviets were making gains around the world – Kennedy wanted to join with the Soviets and share with them missile secrets they wanted desperately, caved to them on Turkey, failed to secure Cuba, had cold feet in helping out in Vietnam, wanted to end racial differences, end poverty – nationalize medicine, education, give college educations to all Americans – sounded pretty pinko to some I bet. It wouldn't take much to believe that there were elements within the intelligence community that developed 'evidence' that such was the case – sufficient to 'take out' the President.

Again, who knows? The idea is consistent however.

These are patterns – suggesting a larger conspiracy – and suggesting that your naive view that the President a hired hand that never spends more than eight years in office – can control agencies committed to secure the USA against even a rogue president – is naive.

Your view of a "larger conspiracy" is naive

You're only saying that because I called your analysis naive earlier.

as it implies a string of events on a timeline rather than a deeper political notion

We cannot know what the motivation or politics are. We can know what happened and when and where people are. JFK being killed by a lone gunman – is reasonable perhaps – as an isolated incident. JFK being killed, followed by LBJ – a very power hungry individual, not seeking reelection in 68, followed by RFK being killed in 68, followed by Teddy Kennedy being discredited in 69 before the moon landing – suggests a pattern of activity to keep the Kennedy's out of the white house in the 60s and 70s. This is consistent with the notion that there were secrets that would come to light if the President were a

## Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Kennedy that some very powerful folks didn't want to come to light.

more akin to  
a 3-dimensional matrix than a single line with pattern points.

I don't know what you mean and definitely don't know why you are saying that.

Don't  
confuse matrix with the movie either,

??

I am comparing a straight line  
(your view) to a mathematical matrix where things cross and the  
patterns you speak about exist and have existed for centuries and only  
the names and places change.

I think more in terms of eigenvalues – there's an orientation where  
rotational moment is maximum.

Eric

.