

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.policy/2008-08/msg00271.html>

- *From:* Eric Chomko <pne.chomko@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 14 Aug 2008 12:34:25 -0700 (PDT)
-

On Aug 14, 12:24 am, Willie.Moo...@xxxxxxxxxx wrote:

On Aug 11, 4:52 pm, Eric Chomko <pne.cho...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Wille Mook wrote:
[...]

Clearly, the CIA has the capacity if it wishes to ensnare and discredit a President.

Or support a boob like the current one.

Does it seem reasonable that the CIA would operate to protect the USA *from* a President that it had determined was proposing a course detrimental to the USA if it had to? from a candidate that might become such a President? what about political activists in the USA?

Who are they to make that decision? I question decision-makers that are unelected officials.

what about political activists outside the USA? On this spectrum, where would the CIA limit itself? If it does not limit itself then it MUST evaluate and plan to use its authority responsibly (by its light) all the time.

Congress and the Supreme Court oversee what the Executive Branch does, which includes the CIA.

You may think the President a boob or not. The CIA – if it has the responsibility of protecting the USA from a popular politician it thinks is a mole for a foreign power – evaluates THAT risk – regardless of what else is going on.

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Again, I question unelected decision-makers.

[...]

Are you claiming the Cuban exile community mostly in Miami liked JFK?

I don't know – the JFK library is saying that.

What about everywhere else?

<http://www.jfklibrary.org/Historical+Resources/JFK+in+History/JFK+and...>

What you fail to mention in your commentary is that these three NSAMs were written on June 28, 1961 – two months AFTER the invasion!!

That is not a failure! It is a point that states that JFK as a lesson learned from the BOP that he no longer trusted the CIA. He wanted all paramilitary operations handed over to the Joint Chiefs of Staff (the Military!).

I thought you meant those NSAMs *caused* the BOP failure.

No, no! These NSAMs were written as a RESULT of the BOP failure!

I see now I misread what you wrote. So, yeah, all I'm saying is that the NSAMs didn't have any impact on the BOP since they were written after. That they got a few noses out of joint – that's absolutely true. Was that enough for them to organize an assassination of the President of the United States? NO.

It was another straw of many. The last? Probably not, but certainly it added to the weight on the Camel's back, so to speak.

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Did it cause some in power to revisit the theory that the Kennedy's were moles like Alger Hiss and Armand Hammer? That would be the response. They wouldn't even admit to themselves that they were doing it for selfish reasons. They would develop the information they needed to honestly say the President needed to be killed – if that's indeed what happened.

Who makes that decision? Right-wingers in industry that honestly thought the Russians were crazier than our own crazies?! Hell man, Linus Pauling was called a "Red" by many including Hoover. Pauling had the double helix down way before Watson and Crick and was barred from leaving the country because the State Dept., thanks to Hoover, called him a Red. That same sort of lunacy, dubbed McCarthyism, is what leads to thinking that JFK was supporting them and not us.

You seem to think that the timing should have been before hand. No!

I thought you were saying the BOP was caused by these NSAMs – I misread what you wrote. I am saying just what you are saying – that the NSAMs were a reaction to the failure of the BOP.

Good.

JFK wrote these memos as a reaction to the failure of the BOP. What part of THAT don't YOU get?!

That's exactly what I was saying because I thought you were implying the JFK caused the failure at BOP and these NSAMs proved it. In response to that apparent statement – which you didn't make I now see – I was saying that these NSAMs came after the BOP and could not have affected the BOP one bit.

It was the BOP that caused the NSAMs not the other way around.

The invasion had already failed. What these NSAMs are about are Bundy, McNamara and Kennedy's efforts to make damned fucking sure the President, or any future President for that matter, wouldn't have his fucking dick hanging out after committing resources and people (even

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

non-nationals as in this case!)

The memos were based upon lessons learned. Do you not see where the CIA was stripped of power?

Absolutely.

Or at least that was what was attempted by these memos?

That wouldn't have been enough for the CIA to organize a coup. There had to have been information already circulating that the Kennedy's were on Stalin's payroll or something like that.

Or, that the MIC, of which Ike warned the contry about on his way out, decided that JFK was going to cost them too much money by making the DOD basically go dormant during the Cold War.

Even if it were unlikely, if there was any scintilla of evidence, they would have analyzed that in light of his recent actions and stated goals, applied a Bayesian analysis to it and generated a paper saying that the President was not acting on behalf of the best interests of the USA and had violated his oath of office –and was part of a Communist conspiracy – yadayadayada.. before they organized a coup – if that's what they did. LBJ had to have been a part of it – it would be interesting to see whom he met with in the days and weeks preceding the assasination of Diem.

Wealthy Texans within the oil and defense industries?

That is, look at the dates of the invasion – look at what happened – and look at the dates on the NSAMs – and what the President was saying.

Yes, I know!

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Me too! lol. I thought you had said (but I see now you did not) that the NSAMs proved JFK had screwed around with things and caused the BOP failure. Since the NSAMs came after – they were the result of the BOP – not the cause of the BOP – on that we agree – I thought we did not. That's all.

In the intervening two months from April to June the President was given a lot of stories FROM the CIA and DOD explaining the 'failure' in Cuba – and in response to that the President with HIS advisors was laying down the law to both those groups of assholes – don't fuck with the President!

Right, but do you see where it said "Joint Chiefs of Staff" several times, and "paramilitary", also several times, and how paramilitary ops were to be turned over to the JCS?!? Translation: Covert operations going away from the CIA and shifted over to the military, as per JFK's memos.

Yes – and in retro-spect – JFK needed to tread a little more lightly in dealing with these fellows – reassuring them personally that this was in the best interests of the USA and so forth – it might not have changed things – if they did organize a coup – but it is likely that it might. haha – but we're piling speculation upon speculation here – and I get rather tired of that – haha.

I think you're missing a huge point regarding the "follow the money" angle about all this. Clearly, JFK wasn't killed over pure ideology related to Communism vs. Capitalism. He was killed because a powerful group was being hit in their pocketbooks due to his policies. Those same felt and got what they wanted out of LBJ. Who does that leave?

That's all this is. Any effort to paint it as anything more is ludicrous – any effort to say it had any impact on April's operations – is also ludicrous and impossible.

Okay, so these memos get written AND Dulles, Cabell and Bissell, the top 3 men in the CIA get replaced a few months later

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Yes – but I doubt that given the nature of these men's commitment to the USA – that their sacking alone would have resulted in a CIA backed coup to kill JFK.

No, but they sure as hell could be counted on when the operation came down, no?

Bissell, the plans guy, what kind of plans did he do? Coup de etat and that sort of thing. Assassinations!

Cabell, sacred cow of Intell since WWII. His brother just happens to be the mayor of Dallas in 1963!

Dulles, sits on the Warren Commission after being sacked by JFK all they while investigating the assassination itself! More like making sure the CIA doesn't get implicated actually. You don't smell a rat in these three?!

Now ask yourself that if the same three had been Soviets in the KGB and the same thing happened over there with their Premier; you'd be fine with LHO lone nut as the killer? Somehow we are supposed to simply be above that sort of thing because were are we! What Bullshit!!!

I *do* think if there was any stain on the private life of ANY of the Kennedy's that suggested there might be a connection back to Stalin, the Communists, and so forth – THAT would have been re-evaluated in light of THESE decisions – and blown into a rationalization to implement a pre-existing SIOP that involved a coup of a harmful President. That is, if these men or others like them, did organize to kill JFK, they convinced themselves it was the right thing to do given the best available evidence at the time..

Or they were driven by greed. I think you project your emotions onto them.

Of course
once this happened – if it happened – the requirement to maintain the secret and so forth – would take precedence following the killing.

Clearly all the CIA did during the Cold War years under Ike made them more emboldened. Does that make it right? It is "groupthink" gone arwry until something like the JFK assassination comes about. They can convince themselves it was the right thing to do until they go to the grave, but is it? Was the coup in Iran given the whole Shah business in 1978–9? Guatemala, Argentina, Congo, and so on...?

I have read that the CIA and especially the plans division is likened

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

to a gun. It is suppose to point outward and at the enemy to protect the US. But who has control of that gun and who decides where it points? What happens when a whole bunch of CIA people find themselves out of a job? Does that ability to wield the gun simply go away because of a bunch of pink slips got distributed? Or, can another with enough resources and \$\$\$ pick up the pieces and create their own "gun"? Perhaps access to the gun can be subverted at the top levels with just knowing exactly what buttons to push?

and you're
telling me the memos were what again?

a response to the failure of the BOP.

You fail to see the firing of
the top CIA officials and the memos with "JCS and paramilitary" all
over them together to paint the bigger picture.

I see that – and that is something totally different than what I
thought you were saying. I do not believe that the mere sacking and
reassignment of authority would be sufficient to trigger a coup.

Not trigger (pun!) the coup but assist with the coup.

I *DO* believe that a) plans for executing a coup were already pre-
existing – given the arc of responsibility listed above, and b) that
following the changes called for in these NSAMS – old data about JFK
was revisited, and people honestly felt that the pre-existing plan
needed to be carried out.– this is the most likely scenario in my view
– if the CIA was involved at all – which it may not have been. haha.

Heck even the House Select Committee on Assassination in 1979 listed
"rogue elements" within the CIA were involved along with Cuban Exiles.
Have you read the HSCA report?

[...]

Sure we are the good guys in white hats,
with God on our side, and the
Godless Russians and their KGB were the
evil wearers of black hats.

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Gimme a break!

People actually believed that back then – many did.

Yes, I know. My father was in Military Intelligence from 1958 – 1980 specializing in the Soviet Union. He speaks fluent Russian to this very day.

Well, I might be willing to give you a break, but I doubt anyone alive back then would – and they didn't give the President a break either – if they were involved with this.

My dad was in counterintelligence.

McCarthyism fell on its face and it is good that it did because rather than out a bunch of people that were evil it became more of a way to control people through fear. A terrorist kills people, what have the US communists ever done to anyone in the US other than be different and believe in a failed system? I think I'm more concerned about being blown up. Really don't have to create a bunch of pulp telling me the evils of terrorism in the face of suicide bombers, now do you?

[...]

As fellow Irishmen JFK was considered less likely to really come down on McCarthy as they were old friends.

Yes HUAC was a response to the Soviet Bomb – and the UN. I think there is some evidence that the CIA opposed the UN on grounds that were outlined in their forming documents. Unfortunately the people of the US supported the UN at that time – and haha – there are elements in our government that have been going about changing that attitude since that time. The outing of Alger Hiss was just the first step.

What is so bad about the UN, in your opinion?

Check out the timeline –

1945 Defeat of Germany and Japan – with Russians as allies.

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

February 4–11: Yalta Conference meeting of FDR, Churchill, Stalin – the 'Big Three'
Soviet Union has control of Eastern Europe. The Cold War Begins

May 8: VE Day – Victory in Europe. Germany surrenders to the Red Army in Berlin

July: Potsdam Conference – Germany was officially partitioned into four zones of occupation.

My father worked in Frankfurt babysitting the Russians that were in the American zone from 1974–1975. I graduated from Frankfurt American High School. By my 18th birthday I had spent 8 years in what was once called West Germany.

Right. If he was presented with evidence that a President was a Soviet mole planted in the USA a generation before– schooled to sell out the USA to Russia in a cleverly crafted plan – if there were real evidence developed – and then shown these then secret NSAMs – would HE come to the honest conclusion that a coup needed to take place? What if the Vice President sat in on the meeting? I can imagine that there were a dozen honest men – true and unsullied – with clearances and reputations for keeping secrets well. That this was bounced off of – as a way to convince those they were acting prudently in the best interests of the nation – assuming, of course, the CIA was involved in such an act – which it may very well not have been.

The JFK assassination was a right–wing domestic coup. If JFK was killed because enough powerful people convinced themselves he was bad for the country then we needed a change alright, and that would be from those that planned and implemented that coup!

[...]

However, committee member Richard Nixon received secret information from the

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

FBI which
had led him to pursue the issue. When it issued its report,
HUAC
described Hiss's

Nixon got his information from Hoover (and the FBI) who felt HE had to do more than that upstart agency the CIA.

I got that impression too! In JFK's campaign speech about Democratic supporters of Nixon – he said Nixon is okay as a follower – but as a leader he makes him nervous. He said Nixon reminds him of the symbol of his party. Ivory in his hair, a long memory, and poor vision. Good at being led around by the nose, attached to the tail of the elephant in front – but as a leader – prone to trample and destroy things we hold dear. A great speech.

The CIA felt it had a sacred trust – this is the way they've described it – and it is consistent. The USA was drawn into two world wars it did not benefit from fighting. It was attacked by surprise and it could not weather a surprise attack in the nuclear age. What to do? The CIA is an important element in maintaining peace in the nuclear age, while maintaining US superiority in income and might.

Interesting concept today as we see the dollar losing hegemony to the euro on a daily basis.

Whenever a nation or people industrialize – this is the lesson of the great wars of the 19th and 20th centuries – they use their wealth to build their military might and then project power outside their region. The bulk of humanity was poised to industrialize at the end of the second world war. And we had developed nuclear weapons. If the USA assisted in this global development – the theory went – we would accelerate the propensity of poorer nations to go through the very same destructive phase the USA, Europe and Japan went through. Who are the most radical folks around today? Muslims! Who are growing richest fastest on oil wealth? Muslims. The correlation still stands. – in the minds of those who see it. There are other things going on to be sure – and to a large extent we don't see how we are creating our own problems – at least not inside CIA HQ. People who talk about global peace and getting along and helping one another – those people are the enemy in this view – because they're promoting inevitable social advance that results in those very same people getting the bomb and using it on us. That and the need to take pre-emptive action – puts the challenges to our intelligence community in perspective. Are they right? They think so – and it's not open to discussion – because they know that the American people think more

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

highly of others than they do – and they know the American people have a moral character they cannot afford to develop.

The problem is, through common mode failure, the CIA and others are bringing about the very thing they were organized to prevent – the absolute destruction of the USA. JFK – irrational as his visionary goals and plans were in the middle of the 20th century – were the exactly right things for this nation to be doing in that era – and that they did not get done – whether the CIA was involved or not – harmed the USA today and continued following of the rational path – uninformed by a higher vision – will guarantee our failure – no matter how irrational that may seem to us now.

Clearly you believe that the CIA has been taken over by special interests?

[...]

October 1 : Communist Mao Zedong takes control of China
and
establishes the People's Republic of China
^^
THIS SCARED THE SHIT OUT OF PEOPLE*

Not really. We thought we could defeat Mao by supporting Chiang Kai Shek.

I think you are confused by the stories we told ourselves at the time to feel better – and what we really felt. haha. Kids do that – you're 8 years old, everyone's saying Oh Shit, China went communist – and then the adults talk brave bullshit, and the kids believe it! lol.

And Regan makes China our most favored nation WRT trade all the while touting China's lousy human rights. Hell, if you REALLY want to make a difference then boycott Chinese goods! Can't you say? We are tied to them and it would wreck our economy, etc., etc. Then what good is our whining about their human rights when the one thing we could do to change things we can't do because we're tied to them economically. Better to just shut the hell up then...

December 1 – Chiang Kai-shek moved to Formosa and created Nationalist government

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

We we more than just supported.

Sure until Reagan.

Yep, we are stuck with them and still bitch about their human rights.

[...]

The CIA hires all sorts of people –haha– Noriega and Saddam Hussein were on CIA payroll at some point. That has nothing to little to do with their goals and organizing principles.

Why hire them then?

To carry out the policies of the USA – keep folks focused in their own backyards. Korea divides Japan and China and keeps China out of the world's oceans. Israel keeps the old Ottoman Empire from reforming. A divided Yugoslavia keeps a united Europe focused inward. Corruption and drugs keep South America down while tribal conflict keep Africa down, while we remove all the riches at bargain basement prices.

Remember, the moment people get rich, they spend gobs of money on weapons systems and project power outward. The CIA wants to help other agencies keep that from happening in the nuclear age. So, we do whatever it takes to keep people focused in their own back yard.

The problem with this program, is that the USA cannot help being seen for what it is – even in secret – and it will become everyone's enemy – and people will oppose us. Crazy people with nothing to lose will oppose us openly. Prudent people will do so covertly.

Why doesn't China help us more to get the handful of loose nukes under control? Why do they help proliferate and develop the first muslim bomb? Why don't they get better control of their borders? They spend a lot of effort keeping Tibet down – but let the muslims run riot in their Western frontier – a frontier Osam bin Laden is likely to be inhabiting. Why is that? Our own military trains gang bangers to be better murderers, while the golden triangle provides drugs for them to distribute on their return, and the Chinese provide weapons. Meanwhile, the chinese are patiently making stuff at low cost to be sold at Walmart – and taking our mortgages and notes in lieu of real

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

payments.

The Europeans are doing a pretty good job buying up the US as well. Budweiser is owned by the Belgians now. That's as bad as letting Harley-Davidson go to the Japanese which we didn't let happen!

I cannot say what will happen in the future – but it may be that one day, one day soon perhaps – muslim terrorists will set off russian loose nukes in 5 or 6 cities in the USA – and on that day – China announces a multi-billion dollar aid package, along with writing down ALL of its US debt. The USA on that day will be bankrupt. Raw materials in Africa, South America, and the Middle East will begin flowing to China – and we will not be surprised to learn that China is a lot more generous with development dollars and sharing the wealth – with all these places – than the USA is. It may be that the way China sees it – when all these nations grow richer – and begin to project power – the Chinese have a plan to make the USA target for the next 300 years.

300 years is a long time.

This is what I mean when I say common mode failure – the Chinese, and Europeans and Asians, and Australians, and Africans, and South Americans, and Mexicans and Canadians, read Noam Chomsky. They really do. They also have a vital literature surrounding Chomsky's core work – and they don't see it as anti-Americanism– they don't feel the same 'hate america' emotion Chomsky exudes. haha.. I remember one day at MIT I asked Noam why he didn't just state facts without all the anti-american bile – he'd get more converts that way, and be more effective. I pointed out that he seemed to be writing merely to identify malcontents for the CIA. – and get embarrassing things into the public record closely associated with anti-american feelings. He interrupted me and said I was an asshole! lol. I didn't get a chance to ask him what impact he thought his works had on those overseas? How their intelligence communities saw things – how their history books would be written.

Did you know that Noam Chomsky believes in Lee Harvey Oswald lone nut WRT the JFK assassination? Chomsky with all his talk is actually a lone nutter like some American-can-do-no-wrong right-wing delusional idealist! He's a great linguist that should have stayed within his field. I don't like him anymore.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HpF6HwMVZUA>

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

and how that would evolve over time – to harm the USA.

You forgot Osama bin Laden and his Muljahaden, of which Reagan referred to as Freedom Fighters akin to the Americans fighting the British during the Revolution.

Sure. Clinton knew where to send the cruise missiles after the first attack against the USA because WE built those camps for them! OF course while Newt Gingrich worried that his Lewinsky diatribe would fail to stick if the President looked too presidential – they got away and established new hideouts. Good job Newt! lol. This will go down in history as a parallel with Rome – the senators worried about power in DC while we ignored what we should have been paying attention to. And what should we have paid attention to? Why they turned against us. The turned against us for the simple reason that we over-promised and then failed to deliver on those promise when they ran afoul of our long term goals – it is our adherence to these rational and logical goals that are killing us – and our total lack of imagination in dealing with the world in anything but ideological terms – with the ideology being the secret belief system incorporated in the CIAs founding.

– which Nixon exploited to
get into
trouble over Watergate –

Nixon called it when he said if Hoover were still alive this
wouldn't
be a problem for him.

Yes, I agree. A guy like Deep Throat, who we now know was Mark Felt, would not have been able to operate had Hoover been alive.

Hoover had a dossier on everyone who was
important and could use it to bury anything.

I have read Anthony Summers book on Hoover, Bill Turner's book on Hoover, Ovid Demaris and Cartha DeLoach's book on Hoover.

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

The trouble in the modern world is that people have become desensitized to so much. That is why some folks believe the CIA is behind the kidnapping of truant children and using them in sex slave trade – in order to maintain power over key people. I'm not saying this is true, but I am saying it is consistent with goals and means of the agency.

<http://portland.indymedia.org/en/2004/02/280183.shtml><http://en.wikipe...>

Please note the parallels between Whitaker Chambers and Jeff Gannon

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Whitaker_Chambers

Today the charge of 'child molester' has the same gravitas as 'communist' in the 1940s.

Except being labeled communist was a right vs. left thing and everyone hates a child molester.

Every one hated a communist back in the day. To maintain that same power– the CIA or FBI needs to be able to accuse anyone of something people will simultaneously believe of anyone – and hate them for it – regardless of their prior history. That is why there is a media frenzy on this subject – to maintain the proper epistemology in the nation. Foreigners can see it when they come here – and I can see it when I leave.

and since the fall of the Soviet Union – Bush 1 and Bush 2 have viewed the US Democratic party as a threat to the

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

'American Way of Life' –
and have not troubled
themselves to worry
about using CIA info–war
tactics against the American
public to
maintain control by the
Republican party.

Clearly government agencies should not be
too left or too right to be
of any value in a balanced USA.

Actually judging things according to 'left–right' is being held
captive
by an ideological paradigm that causes people to ignore
reality – at
great cost to society – due to common mode failure.
Consider the
monument building of Easter Islanders leading to their
collapse – or
how easy it was for the Spanish to take over the Aztec
culture
following the assassination of their leaders – again due to
common mode
failure

Are you saying that Easter Island and the Aztecs went 100% right or
100% left with no sense of balance?

I'm saying that their thought process–whatever it was– didn't allow
them to approach their problems creatively and come up with a solution
that would have worked.

"plans"
division of
the CIA was
not set up
under
Truman and
Ike
allowed it
to occur by

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

turning a
blind eye to
it until it
became
obvious
during the
Church
Committee
investigation
in
1974 that it
had gotten
out of hand
during the
previous 2
decades.

You are naive in the
extreme – and are blind to
the actual operations
of the CIA – preferring only
to read the handouts of the
CIA itself as
an explanation of its role in
the world.

I beg your pardon? The actual operations of
the CIA differ than that
of Truman's vision of the Agency he signed
into law.

Yes, you quote a handout from the very agency itself and
then claim
you're not doing it? haha...

The CIA claims to be the agency that Truman set up when that serves
their purpose. Surely you are aware that Truman never
approved of a "Plans" division.

I don't know anything about that. I do know however, that this or
that detail won't make a damned bit of difference if there is no
allowance for creative thinking – and this or that detail won't harm

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

the nation if there is.

I never said that the Agency didn't develop a Plans Division. In fact, I stated that they did, still do, and that Truman never approved of it. What part of all that don't you get?

So? Truman probably wouldn't have approved of a lot of thing. As the agency any agency grows it evolves. The point is, what sort of creative response to our difficulties is it capable of? Is it over lain by an ideologically dictated straightjacket? Does it believe its own lies?: If so, it will suffer a common mode failure and bring about the very thing it was put in place to avoid.

How different would the world be if we hadn't created a plans division and the have to go about creating enemies to keep said plans division busy?

Much of the organizing documents, and the legal history of the agency and its other more secret counter-parts, is still secret – so you acting like you're speaking with authority – is ludicrous.

There are historical aspects that have been unclassified by various committees (Church and Rokerfeller) that have through investigation revealed agency misdeeds. I quote nothing from authority that hasn't been revealed to the public. An intellience agency shouldn't be so secretive that it hides its own corruption from a checks and balances society that claims to be free. Nothing ludicrous about that Mr.Mook!

I am certain that more thought went in to how to respond to these failures than went into getting this information and analyzing it on the outside. There are only 435 members of Congress and 100 senators – there are over 100,000 workers in the CIA and US intelligence communities – they're expert at managing political opinion – the politicians are more like talented naturals but lack the depth of knowledge of the trained expert. So, it wouldn't surprise me that in any run in between the agency and Congress – the agency will suffer a lot less than it might – and Congress will suffer lasting changes in response. What happend to Rokerfellers career or church's career after?

Rokerfeller retired rich like we was before he got in and was caught

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

flipping off another congressman that got a big laugh. Church, not sure.

Secret agencies exist to maintain secrets – I seriously doubt you have penetrated any critical secrets now held by the USA – and quoting declassified literature puts you at risk of having your belief system managed by those releases.

Lemme see, believe Mook or read the likes of Peter Dale Scott,

You are setting up a false choice.

who has spent countless hours doing research?

You are now setting up expert authority –

Do yourself a favor and look up Peter Dale Scott.

Do yourself a favor and realize what I say doesn't need any further information than what we all already know.

Riiight! Your interpretation of reality is correct. Riiight.

The only accurate way to view things is to look at the details of what actually happened and piece together some ideas based on patterns of activity. As I mentioned, the history of the Kennedy's is interesting for this reason – suggesting the agency thought of Joe Kennedy – the father – the same way it thought of Alger Hiss following his outing by Whitaker Chambers – Joe Jr. died, Jack was assassinated in his first term, Robert was assassinated after he won the California

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

primary, Ted was discredited the day before the first moon landing which his brother initiated.

All done by the CIA according to you?

Not at all. I'm not saying the CIA did any of the things it might have done, or was capable of doing, or even at some level planned on doing. I don't know anything about what happened with Teddy Kennedy. All I know is that the timing of the events at Chappaquidick were interesting that's all.

Do you think that I support the actual operations more than Truman's vision of it? Please be clear.

You are reading public documents about the operation of a secret agency and taking it at face value is ludicrous.

Explain to me what you know about Truman and his personality that makes today's CIA out to be the agency that he envisioned.

What agency do you know of that is exactly the same as when it was founded? none. Do you know the largest agency in Washington today?

In dollars or what?

the most buildings and people and whatnot? Agriculture.

Oh...

Do you know the oldest agency in Washington today? Agriculture. Things grow over time. The income tax was a way to pay off the debt for the first world war. It was to be rescinded at some point – according to papers at the time. That's how the American people swallowed it. Besides, only Rich Northeastern Tycoons would pay it.

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Senators argued that they should put a 5% cap on it – but others argued they didn't want to send a message to future generations that 5% income tax would be acceptable. lol. Did the income tax get rescinded? no. did it grow? yes. will it continue to grow and change – following its own inevitable and internal logic? yes. The CIA is the same. To say that it must live according to the rules set out by Truman is unrealistic. To say it must have elements within it that give it a creative ability to reinvent itself – and reject fixed ideologies – is not (but unlikely in today's environment)

Covert wars are bad and they foster corruption. Period. I don't care where they come from they should cease or at least not be allowed to proliferate.

Do you know anything about Hoover? Do you know how the agency changes or what makes it change? You do not have to know every secret detail to know how the agency works based upon cause and effect.

That's right. Fire codes are written in response to fires. Structural codes in response to failures. SEC rules in response to successful crooks. Ken Arrow proved that such processes as we use to make decisions – cannot work as we expect. There will always be common mode failure.

Please define "common mode failure" as you use the term a lot.

The success of any system hinges on the fact that as old elements fail, new elements arise naturally to take their place. Such is not the case in human affairs – yet. But perhaps before we bring about the total destruction of America – we can figure it out. Not by engaging in the old arguments and politics – but by giving birth to something new – to misquote Tennyson! lol.

The Russian/Georgia thing going on right now, do you have any clue as to who is our ally and who is our enemy?

They are doing what benefits the USA – they are focusing the attention of Russia and Europe into their own back yards, and doing it in a way that benefits McCain – the war hero – against Obama.

Wait, so do we have a media war going on right now? Is the liberal

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

media, of which the conservatives bitch about daily and is obviously behind Obama, at war with the "they" to whom you speak supporting McCain? Would that be the US Information Agency or is there a more global propaganda branch at work here that is not the "liberal media"? Who supports McCain as a form of media?

How both are being viewed and how the information is being manipulated? Take a guess Mook, who do we support in the Georgia/Russia thing?

The USA – and to a lesser extent – McCain.

Who, exactly?

[...]

So when LBJ asked Hoover if anyone was shooting at him while he too was in Dealey Plaza on 11/22/63; it was just a good acting job to make him look vulnerable and less like a suspect? No having LBJ "know" would have never worked. No doubt the perps benefitted by an LBJ presidency and a coup does fit, but not by LBJ himself. He had no need to know.

I don't know anything about that.

Among other things...

Fuck you too sweetheart! haha– I read your bullshit and respond to it, and this is how i get talked to? fucking jackass!

Temper, temper. We were doing real fine here until you lost it... Perhaps the dig was below the belt. But a response like yours is suppose to do what exactly? I hope you feel better but I won't respond in kind.

You know a lot about a lot, but the amount you don't know is still

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

much more than what you know and it will always be that way. Such is life. Better to be just committed to being smarter and more knowledgeable tomorrow than you are today as a sort of process and leave it at that...with a sense of humor to boot. You do that and guys like Guth won't be able to get to you as is his want. ;)

[...]

The military is given a difficult task in the modern world – and has basically undermined any ability for this nation to generate the political leadership that it needs. Common mode failure.

So we need spook (covert) warfare, according to you? Make up your mind!

We will fail – no matter what we do. We can design the system to sustain failure, or we can undergo a rigid common mode failure that destroys everything all at once.

CMF again. Sounds like we need to focus and how to avoid that.

[...]

Don't forget the Gore connection to MC, err, Armand Hammer.

I don't know anything about that.

Among other things...

Listen you goddamned motherfucker, I don't need to be spoken to like that! If we were talking in a bar or over dinner – and you said that to me as I was talking to you – I'd kick your fucking ass –

Geez Mook, get a grip. Besides you might try to kick my ass and fail. I tend to defeat hotheads simply because their hotheadedness is wrong! Got it?

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

The death of Kennedy can
be proven to be a coup
de-tat not by careful
analysis of the details of his
death – as in the movie JFK
– but
rather – the fact that his
brother Robert was killed
when it was clear
he would win the
nomination in 1968 by
another lone gunman.

Those too events, though similar, were
different. Clearly Sirhan, who
is still alive, looks a lot more guilty than
does a dead Oswald.

Sirhan was one of the famous subjects of MK-ULTRA
experiments
according to some.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Project_MKULTRA#Conspiracy_theories

Yes, I have heard that.

So was the una-bomber –

And MK ULTRA guinea pig?

On July 18, 1969 – one day
before a manned landing on
the moon –

2 days. Actually, it was one day, but you
have the day wrong.

Re: Why We Haven't Been to Mars Yet

Chappaquiddick was July 19, 1969 and
Apollo 11 was July 20, 19