

Re: Whats nasa thinking

Source: <http://sci.tech-archive.net/Archive/sci.space.shuttle/2004-08/0902.html>

From: rk (*stellare_at_NOSPAMPLEASE.erols.com*)

Date: 08/30/04

Date: 30 Aug 2004 02:32:18 GMT

bob haller wrote:

>>*I'd prefer the risk a series of failure leading to space junk possibly
>>coming
>>
>>down on someone's head as opposed to the risk of a nuclear weapon coming
>>down
>>
>>on someone's head or the threat of a nuclear weapon coming down on
>>someone's head.
>>
>
> Should we be partnered with a country thats spreading nuclear weapons to
> possible terrorists that might use those bombs here.*

Uh, Professor, it's your plan to spend lots of money there as you feel the risk of losing control of the station and not being able to operate it safely will result in it falling on someone's head. Others dissent with you and figure that a nuclear Iran is a greater danger to safety. It appears that the Germans disagree with you. From today's Jerusalem Post:

An Iranian nuclear arms buildup would be a "nightmare," German Foreign Minister Joschka Fischer warned Sunday,

I believe you are worried about the wrong things.

>>*Now, are you also pushing to ban airliner flights from the risk of the
>>people under their flight path?*

So, what's your answer to this one? A bit earlier you said:

Dont risk lives unnecessarily.....

Are you trying to get all airliners grounded? You do know that they pose a real risk to people underneath them.

> *HAVE A GREAT DAY!*

sci.space.shuttle: Re: Whats nasa thinking

--

rk, Just an OldEngineer

"Engineers abhor extrapolation"

-- Ken Iliff, from Runway to Orbit, 2004